

ARIZONA
CODE OF JUDICIAL
CONDUCT

2014

Arizona Supreme Court
Rule 81, Rules of the Supreme Court,

Effective September 1, 2009
Amended November 24, 2009

[This page is intentionally left blank]

ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT
CONTENTS

Preliminary Sections

	Preamble	[1]
	Scope	[2]
	Terminology	[3]
	Application	[5]
Canon 1.	A judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.	[9]
Rule 1.1.	Compliance with the Law	[9]
Rule 1.2.	Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary	[9]
Rule 1.3.	Avoiding Abuse of the Prestige of Judicial Office	[10]
Canon 2.	A judge shall perform the duties of judicial office impartially, competently, and diligently.	[11]
Rule 2.1.	Giving Precedence to Judicial Duties	[11]
Rule 2.2.	Impartiality and Fairness	[11]
Rule 2.3.	Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment	[11]
Rule 2.4.	External Influences on Judicial Conduct	[12]
Rule 2.5.	Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation	[12]
Rule 2.6.	Ensuring the Right to be Heard	[13]
Rule 2.7.	Responsibility to Decide	[14]
Rule 2.8.	Decorum, Demeanor, and Communication with Jurors	[14]
Rule 2.9.	Ex parte Communications	[15]
Rule 2.10.	Judicial Statements on Pending and Impending Cases	[17]
Rule 2.11.	Disqualification	[17]
Rule 2.12.	Supervisory Duties	[20]
Rule 2.13.	Administrative Appointments	[20]
Rule 2.14.	Disability and Impairment	[21]
Rule 2.15.	Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct	[21]
Rule 2.16.	Cooperation with Disciplinary Authorities	[22]

Canon 3.	A judge shall conduct the judge’s extrajudicial activities so as to minimize the risk of conflict with the obligations of judicial office.	[23]
Rule 3.1.	Extrajudicial Activities in General	[23]
Rule 3.2.	Appearances Before Governmental Bodies and Consultation with Governmental Officials	[24]
Rule 3.3.	Acting as a Character Witness	[24]
Rule 3.4.	Appointments to Governmental Positions	[25]
Rule 3.5.	Use of Nonpublic Information	[25]
Rule 3.6.	Affiliation with Discriminatory Organizations	[25]
Rule 3.7.	Participation in Educational, Religious, Charitable, Fraternal, or Civic Organizations and Activities	[26]
Rule 3.8.	Appointments to Fiduciary Positions	[28]
Rule 3.9.	Service as an Arbitrator or Mediator	[29]
Rule 3.10.	Practice of Law	[29]
Rule 3.11.	Financial, Business, or Remunerative Activities	[29]
Rule 3.12.	Compensation for Extrajudicial Activities	[30]
Rule 3.13.	Acceptance and Reporting of Gifts, Loans, Bequests, Benefit or Other Things of Value	[31]
Rule 3.14.	Reimbursement of Expenses and Waivers of Fees or Charges	[33]
Rule 3.15.	Financial Reporting Requirements	[34]
Rule 3.16.	Conducting Weddings	[34]
Canon 4.	A judge or candidate for judicial office shall not engage in political or campaign activity that is inconsistent with the independence, integrity, or impartiality of the judiciary.	[35]
Rule 4.1.	Political and Campaign Activities of Judges and Judicial Candidates in General	[35]
Rule 4.2.	Political and Campaign Activities of Judicial Candidates	[38]
Rule 4.3.	Campaign Standards and Communications	[38]
Rule 4.4.	Campaign Committees	[40]
Rule 4.5.	Activities of Judges Who Become Candidates for Nonjudicial Office	[40]
Index		[43]

[The index and page numbers are not part of the official version of the code.]

PREAMBLE

An independent, fair, and impartial judiciary is indispensable to our system of justice. The United States legal system is based upon the principle that an independent, impartial, and competent judiciary, composed of men and women of integrity, will interpret and apply the law that governs our society. Thus, the judiciary plays a central role in preserving the principles of justice and the rule of law. Inherent in all the rules contained in this code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to maintain and enhance confidence in the legal system.

Judges should maintain the dignity of judicial office at all times, and avoid both impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in their professional and personal lives. They should aspire at all times to conduct that ensures the greatest possible public confidence in their independence, impartiality, integrity, and competence.

This code establishes standards for the ethical conduct of judges and judicial candidates. It is not intended as an exhaustive guide for the conduct of judges and judicial candidates, who are governed in their judicial and personal conduct by general ethical standards as well as by the code. The code is intended, however, to provide guidance and assist judges in maintaining the highest standards of judicial and personal conduct, and to provide a basis for regulating their conduct through disciplinary agencies.

SCOPE

This code consists of four canons, numbered rules under each canon, and comments that generally follow and explain each rule. Scope and terminology sections provide additional guidance in interpreting and applying the code. An application section establishes when the various rules apply to a judge or judicial candidate.

The canons state overarching principles of judicial ethics that all judges must observe. Although a judge may be disciplined only for violating a rule, the canons provide important guidance in interpreting the rules. Where a rule contains a permissive term, such as “may” or “should,” the conduct being addressed is committed to the personal and professional discretion of the judge or candidate in question, and no disciplinary action should be taken for action or inaction within the bounds of such discretion.

The comments that accompany the rules serve two functions. First, they provide guidance regarding the purpose, meaning, and proper application of the rules. They contain explanatory material and, in some instances, provide examples of permitted or prohibited conduct. Second, the comments identify aspirational goals for judges. To implement fully the principles of this code as articulated in the canons, judges should strive to exceed the standards of conduct established by the rules, holding themselves to the highest ethical standards and seeking to achieve those aspirational goals, thereby enhancing the dignity of the judicial office.

The rules in the code are rules of reason that should be applied consistent with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules, and decisional law, and with due regard for all relevant circumstances. The rules should not be interpreted to impinge upon the essential independence of judges in making judicial decisions.

The black letter of the rules is binding and enforceable. It is not intended, however, that every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. Whether discipline should be imposed should be determined through a reasonable and reasoned application of the rules and should depend upon factors such as the seriousness of the transgression, the facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the transgression, the extent of any pattern of improper activity, whether there have been previous violations, and the effect of the improper activity upon the judicial system or others.

The code is not designed or intended as a basis for civil or criminal liability. Neither is it intended to be the basis for litigants to seek collateral remedies against each other or to obtain tactical advantages in proceedings before a court.

TERMINOLOGY

“Appropriate authority” means the authority having responsibility for initiation of disciplinary process in connection with the violation to be reported.

“Contribution” means both financial and in-kind contributions, such as goods, professional or volunteer services, advertising, and other types of assistance, which, if obtained by the recipient otherwise, would require a financial expenditure.

“De minimis,” in the context of interests pertaining to disqualification of a judge, means an insignificant interest that could not raise a reasonable question regarding the judge’s impartiality.

“Domestic partner” means a person with whom another person maintains a household and an intimate relationship, other than a person to whom he or she is legally married.

“Economic interest” means ownership of more than a de minimis legal or equitable interest and is further defined, for purposes of compliance with state law, in A.R.S. § 38-502(11). Except for situations in which the judge participates in the management of such a legal or equitable interest, or the interest could be substantially affected by the outcome of a proceeding before a judge, it does not include:

- (1) an interest in the individual holdings within a mutual or common investment fund;
- (2) an interest in securities held by an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organization in which the judge or the judge’s spouse, domestic partner, parent, or child serves as a director, an officer, an advisor, or other participant;
- (3) deposit in a financial institution or deposits or proprietary interests the judge may maintain as a member of a mutual savings association or credit union, or similar proprietary interests; or
- (4) an interest in the issuer of government securities held by the judge.

“Fiduciary” includes relationships such as executor, administrator, trustee, or guardian.

“Impartial,” “impartiality,” and **“impartially”** mean absence of bias or prejudice in favor of, or against, particular parties or classes of parties, as well as maintenance of an open mind in considering issues that may come before a judge.

“Impending matter” is a matter that is imminent or expected to occur in the near future.

“Impropriety” includes conduct that violates the law, court rules, or provisions of this Code, and conduct that undermines a judge’s independence, integrity, or impartiality.

“Independence” means a judge’s freedom from influence or controls other than those established by law.

“Integrity” means probity, fairness, honesty, uprightness, and soundness of character.

“Judge” means any person who is authorized to perform judicial functions within the Arizona judiciary, including a justice or judge of a court of record, a justice of the peace, magistrate, court commissioner, special master, hearing officer, referee, or pro tempore judge.

“Judicial candidate” means any person, including a sitting judge, who is seeking selection for or retention in judicial office by election or appointment. A person becomes a candidate for judicial office as soon as he or she makes a public announcement of candidacy, declares or files as a candidate with the election or appointment authority, authorizes or, where permitted, engages in solicitation or acceptance of contributions or support, or is nominated for election or appointment to office.

“Knowingly,” “knowledge,” “known,” and “knows” means actual knowledge of the fact in question. A person’s knowledge may be inferred from circumstances.

“Law” encompasses court rules as well as ordinances, regulations, statutes, constitutional provisions, and decisional law.

“Member of the judge’s family” means a spouse, domestic partner, child, grandchild, parent, grandparent, or other relative or person with whom the judge maintains a close familial relationship.

“Member of a judge’s family residing in the judge’s household” means any relative of a judge by blood or marriage, or a person treated by a judge as a member of the judge’s family, who resides in the judge’s household.

“Nonpublic information” means information that is not available to the public. Nonpublic information may include, but is not limited to, information that is sealed by statute or court order or impounded or communicated in camera, and information offered in dependency cases or psychiatric reports.

“Pending matter” is a matter that has commenced. A matter continues to be pending through any appellate process until final disposition.

“Personally solicit” means a direct request made by a judge or a judicial candidate for financial support or in-kind services, whether made by letter, telephone, or any other means of communication.

“Political organization” means a political party or other group sponsored by or affiliated with a political party or candidate, the principal purpose of which is to further the election or appointment of candidates for political office. For purposes of this code, the term does not include a judicial candidate’s campaign committee created as authorized by Rule 4.3.

“Public election” includes primary and general elections, partisan elections, nonpartisan elections, recall elections, and retention elections.

“Third degree of relationship” includes the following persons: great-grandparent, grandparent, parent, uncle, aunt, brother, sister, child, grandchild, great-grandchild, nephew, and niece.

APPLICATION

The Application section establishes when the various rules apply to a judge or judicial candidate.

PART A. Applicability of this Code.

- (1) The provisions of the code apply to all judges. Parts B through D of this section identify exemptions that apply to part-time judges.
- (2) The provisions of Canon 4 apply to judicial candidates.

Comment

1. The rules in this code have been formulated to address the ethical obligations of any person who serves a judicial function within the Arizona judicial branch, and are premised upon the supposition that a uniform system of ethical principles should apply to all those authorized to perform judicial functions. The code does not apply to administrative law judges or administrative hearing officers in this state unless expressly made applicable by statute or by agency rules. Such officers are generally affiliated with the executive branch of government rather than the judicial branch and each agency should consider the unique characteristics of particular positions in adopting and adapting the code for administrative law judges or administrative hearing officers. See Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee, Opinion 92-03 (January 31, 1992).

2. The determination of which category of judicial service and, accordingly, which specific rules apply to an individual judicial officer, depends upon the nature of the particular judicial service.

3. Arizona has what are often called “problem-solving” courts, in which judges are authorized by court rules to act in nontraditional ways. For example, judges presiding in drug courts and monitoring the progress of participants in those courts’ programs may be authorized and even encouraged to communicate directly with social workers, probation officers, and others outside the context of their usual judicial role as independent decision makers on issues of fact and law. When local rules governing problem-solving courts, or protocols for problem-solving courts known and consented to by the participants, specifically authorize conduct not otherwise permitted under these rules, they take precedence over the provisions set forth in the code. Nevertheless, judges serving on “problem-solving” courts shall comply with this code except to the extent local rules or protocols provide and permit otherwise. See Rule 2.9, Comment 4.

PART B. Retired Judge Available for Assignment.

A retired judge available for assignment to judicial service need not comply with Rules 3.2 (appearances before governmental bodies and consultation with government officials), 3.3 (acting as a character witness), 3.4 (appointments to governmental positions), 3.7 (participation in educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organizations and activities), 3.8 (appointments to fiduciary positions), 3.9 (service as arbitrator or mediator), 3.10 (practice of law), 3.11 (financial, business or remunerative activities), 3.12 (compensation for extrajudicial activities),

3.13 (acceptance and reporting of gifts, loans, bequests, benefits, or other things of value), 3.14 (reimbursement of expenses and waivers of fees or charges), 3.15 (reporting requirements), and 4.1(A) (political and campaign activities of judges and judicial candidates in general).

PART C. Continuing or Periodic Part-Time Judge.

A judge who serves part-time on a continuing or periodic basis, but is permitted to devote time to another profession or occupation and whose compensation is less than that of a full-time judge, is not required to comply:

- (1) except while serving as a judge with Rules 2.10(A) and (B) (judicial statements on pending and impending cases); or
- (2) at any time with Rules 3.4 (appointments to governmental positions), 3.8 (appointments to fiduciary positions), 3.9 (service as arbitrator or mediator), 3.10 (practice of law), 3.11 (financial, business, or remunerative activities), 3.14 (reimbursement of expenses and waivers of fees or charges), 3.15 (reporting requirements), 4.1 (political and campaign activities of judges and judicial candidates in general), 4.2 (political and campaign activities of judicial candidates in public elections), 4.3 (activities of candidates for appointive judicial office), 4.4 (campaign committees), and 4.5 (activities of judges who become candidates for nonjudicial office).

Additionally, such a judge shall not practice law in the specific court on which the judge serves or in any court subject to the appellate jurisdiction of the specific court on which the judge serves, and shall not act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which the judge has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto.

Comment

When a person who has been a continuing part-time judge is no longer a continuing part-time judge, that person may act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which he or she has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto only with the informed consent of all parties, and pursuant to any applicable Rules of Professional Conduct.

PART D. Pro Tempore Part-Time Judge.

A pro tempore part-time judge is a person appointed pursuant to Article 6, § 31 of the Arizona Constitution, or municipal charter or ordinance, who serves or expects to serve repeatedly on a less than full-time basis, but under a separate appointment by a presiding judge for each limited period of service or for each matter.

- (1) A pro tempore part-time judge is not required to comply:
 - (a) except while serving as a judge with Rules 1.2 (promoting confidence in the judiciary), 2.4 (external influences on judicial conduct), 2.10 (judicial statements on pending and impending cases), 3.2 (appearance before governmental bodies and consultation with government officials), 3.3 (acting as a character witness); or

- (b) at any time with Rules 3.4 (appointments to governmental positions), 3.7 (participation in educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organizations and activities), 3.8 appointments to fiduciary positions), 3.9 (service as arbitrator or mediator, 3.10 (practice of law), 3.11 (financial, business, or remunerative activities), 3.13 (acceptance and reporting of gifts, loans, bequests, benefits, or other things of value), 3.15 (reporting requirements), 4.1 (political and campaign activities of judges and judicial candidates in general), and 4.5 (activities of judges who become candidates for nonjudicial office).
- (2) A person who has been a pro tempore part-time judge shall not act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which the judge has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto except as otherwise permitted by Rule 1.12(a) of the Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) A pro tempore part-time judge who serves once or only sporadically in a specialized division of a court or in a court without specialized divisions may appear as a lawyer in such specialized division or court during such service.
- (4) A pro tempore part-time judge who serves repeatedly on a continuing scheduled basis in a specialized division of a court or in a court without specialized divisions shall not appear as a lawyer in such specialized division or court during such service.
- (5) A part-time pro tempore judge who is appointed to perform judicial functions of a nonappealable nature on a continuing scheduled basis shall not appear as a lawyer in other proceedings involving the function of the court in which the service was performed, but may appear as a lawyer in all other areas of practice before the court.

Comment

1. The restrictions of Part D apply to the members of a pro tempore part-time judge's law firm.
2. The purpose of Part D is to allow the greatest possible use of part-time pro tempore judges to augment judicial resources in order to reduce case backlogs and the time necessary to process cases to disposition while minimizing any potential for the appearance of impropriety.
3. The language of Part D is intended to allow, at a minimum, the following current practices:
 - (a) A lawyer sits as a part-time pro tempore judge for one family law trial and during this time appears in the family law divisions as a lawyer in other matters.
 - (b) A lawyer sits as a part-time pro tempore juvenile judge two or more half days a week on a continuing scheduled basis and during this time appears in court as a lawyer in all types of proceedings except for juvenile matters.
 - (c) A lawyer sits as a part-time pro tempore criminal judge in the after-hours and weekend initial appearance program and thereafter appears as a lawyer in the

criminal divisions except that the lawyer does not appear in the initial appearance program on behalf of clients.

- (d) A lawyer sits on a continuing scheduled basis as a part-time pro tempore judge in a satellite court in one community and otherwise appears in the main court located in a different community on all variety of matters, but does not appear in any proceeding in the satellite court.
- (e) A lawyer sits on a continuing scheduled basis as a pro tempore part-time justice of the peace in one precinct and appears as a lawyer in a justice court in another precinct.
- (f) A lawyer sits once or only sporadically as a pro tempore part-time magistrate in a municipal court and otherwise appears as a lawyer in the same court on all variety of matters.
- (g) These comments replace Advisory Opinion 92-16 (issued December 8, 1992, and reissued March 8, 1993) dealing with ethical constraints on lawyers serving as pro tempore judges.

PART E. Time for Compliance by New Judges.

A person to whom this code becomes applicable shall comply immediately with its provisions, except that those judges to whom Rules 3.8 (appointments to fiduciary positions) and 3.11 (financial, business, or remunerative activities) apply shall comply with those rules as soon as reasonably possible, but in no event later than one year after the code becomes applicable to the judge.

Comment

If serving as a fiduciary when selected as judge, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Rule 3.8, continue to serve as fiduciary, but only for that period of time necessary to avoid serious adverse consequences to the beneficiaries of the fiduciary relationship and in no event longer than one year. Similarly, if engaged at the time of judicial selection in a business activity, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Rule 3.11, continue in that activity for a reasonable period but in no event longer than one year.

CANON 1

A JUDGE SHALL UPHOLD AND PROMOTE THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY, AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY, AND SHALL AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY.

RULE 1.1. Compliance with the Law

A judge shall comply with the law, including the Code of Judicial Conduct.

Comment

For a discussion of the judge's obligation when applying and interpreting the law, see Rule 2.2 and the related comment.

RULE 1.2. Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary

A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.

Comment

1. Public confidence in the judiciary is eroded by improper conduct and conduct that creates the appearance of impropriety. This principle applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge.

2. A judge should expect to be the subject of public scrutiny that might be viewed as burdensome if applied to other citizens, and must accept the restrictions imposed by the code.

3. Conduct that compromises or appears to compromise the independence, integrity, and impartiality of a judge undermines public confidence in the judiciary. Because it is not practicable to list all such conduct, the rule is necessarily cast in general terms.

4. Judges should participate in activities that promote ethical conduct among judges and lawyers, support professionalism within the judiciary and the legal profession, and promote access to justice for all.

5. Actual improprieties include violations of law, court rules, or provisions of this code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge violated this code or engaged in other conduct that reflects adversely on the judge's honesty, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge. An appearance of impropriety does not exist merely because a judge has previously rendered a decision on a similar issue, has a general opinion about a legal matter that relates to the case before him or her, or may have personal views that are not in harmony with the views or objectives of either party. A judge's personal and family circumstances are generally not appropriate considerations on which to presume an appearance of impropriety.

6. A judge should initiate and participate in activities for the purpose of promoting public understanding of and confidence in the administration of justice. In conducting such activities, the judge must act in a manner consistent with this code.

RULE 1.3. Avoiding Abuse of the Prestige of Judicial Office

A judge shall not abuse the prestige of judicial office to advance the personal or economic interests of the judge or others, or allow others to do so.

Comment

1. It is improper for a judge to use or attempt to use his or her position to gain personal advantage or deferential treatment of any kind. For example, it would be improper for a judge to allude to his or her judicial status to gain favorable treatment in encounters with traffic officials. Similarly, a judge must not use judicial letterhead to gain an advantage in conducting his or her personal business.

2. A judge may provide a reference or recommendation for an individual based upon the judge's personal knowledge. The judge may use judicial letterhead if there is no likelihood that the use of the letterhead would reasonably be perceived as an attempt to exert pressure by reason of the judicial office.

3. Judges may participate in the process of judicial selection by cooperating with appointing authorities and screening committees, by recommending qualified candidates for judicial office, and by responding to inquiries from and volunteering information to such entities concerning the professional qualifications of a person being considered for judicial office.

4. A judge who writes or contributes to publications of for-profit entities should not permit anyone associated with the publication of such materials to exploit the judge's office in a manner that violates this rule or other applicable law. In contracts for publication of a judge's writing, the judge should retain sufficient control over the advertising to avoid such exploitation.

CANON 2

A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM THE DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE IMPARTIALLY, COMPETENTLY, AND DILIGENTLY

RULE 2.1. Giving Precedence to Judicial Duties

The judicial duties of a judge take precedence over all of a judge's other activities.

Comment

1. To ensure that judges are available to fulfill their judicial duties, judges must conduct their personal and extrajudicial activities to minimize the risk of conflicts that would result in frequent disqualification. See Canon 3.

2. Judicial duties are those prescribed by law. In addition, judges are encouraged to participate in activities that promote public understanding of and confidence in the justice system.

RULE 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness

A judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.

Comment

1. To ensure impartiality and fairness to all parties, a judge must be objective and open-minded.

2. Although each judge comes to the bench with a unique background and personal philosophy, a judge must interpret and apply the law without regard to whether the judge approves or disapproves of the law in question.

3. A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct.

4. It is not a violation of this rule for a judge to make reasonable accommodations to ensure self-represented litigants the opportunity to have their matters fairly heard.

RULE 2.3. Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment

(A) A judge shall perform the duties of judicial office, including administrative duties, without bias or prejudice.

(B) A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, by words or conduct manifest bias or prejudice, or engage in harassment, including but not limited to bias, prejudice, or harassment based upon race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation, and shall not permit court staff, court officials, or others subject to the judge's direction and control to do so.

(C) A judge shall require lawyers in proceedings before the court to refrain from manifesting bias or prejudice, or engaging in harassment, based upon attributes including but

not limited to race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation, against parties, witnesses, lawyers, or others.

(D) The restrictions of paragraphs (B) and (C) do not preclude judges or lawyers from making legitimate reference to the listed factors, or similar factors, when they are relevant to an issue in a proceeding.

Comment

1. A judge who manifests bias or prejudice in a proceeding impairs the fairness of the proceeding and brings the judiciary into disrepute.

2. Examples of manifestations of bias or prejudice include but are not limited to epithets; slurs; demeaning nicknames; negative stereotyping; attempted humor based upon stereotypes; threatening, intimidating, or hostile acts; suggestions of connections between race, ethnicity, or nationality and crime; and irrelevant references to personal characteristics. Facial expressions and body language may convey to parties and lawyers in the proceeding, jurors, the media, and others an appearance of bias or prejudice. A judge must avoid conduct that may reasonably be perceived as prejudiced or biased.

3. Harassment, as referred to in paragraphs (B) and (C), is verbal or physical conduct that denigrates or shows hostility or aversion toward a person on bases such as race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation.

4. Sexual harassment includes but is not limited to sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature that is unwelcome. See Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 92-33 (Oct. 19, 1992), for the judiciary's sexual harassment policy.

RULE 2.4. External Influences on Judicial Conduct

(A) A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism.

(B) A judge shall not permit family, social, political, financial, or other interests or relationships to influence the judge's judicial conduct or judgment.

(C) A judge shall not convey or permit others to convey the impression that any person or organization is in a position to influence the judge.

Comment

An independent judiciary requires that judges decide cases according to the law and facts, without regard to whether particular laws or litigants are popular or unpopular with the public, the media, government officials, or the judge's friends or family. Confidence in the judiciary is eroded if judicial decision making is perceived to be subject to inappropriate outside influences.

RULE 2.5. Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation

(A) A judge shall perform judicial and administrative duties competently, diligently, and promptly.

(B) A judge shall reasonably cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.

(C) A judge shall participate actively in judicial education programs and shall complete mandatory judicial education requirements.

Comment

1. Competence in the performance of judicial duties requires the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness, and preparation reasonably necessary to perform a judge’s responsibilities of judicial office.

2. A judge should seek the necessary docket time, court staff, expertise, and resources to discharge all adjudicative and administrative responsibilities.

3. Prompt disposition of the court’s business requires a judge to devote adequate time to judicial duties, to be punctual in attending court and expeditious in determining matters under submission, and to take reasonable measures to ensure that court officials, litigants, and their lawyers cooperate with the judge to that end.

4. In disposing of matters promptly and efficiently, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay. A judge should monitor and supervise cases in ways that reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays, and unnecessary costs.

5. Article 2, § 11 of the Arizona Constitution requires that “Justice in all cases shall be administered openly, and without unnecessary delay.” Article 6, Section 21 provides that “Every matter submitted to a judge of the superior court for his decision shall be decided within sixty days from the submission thereof. The supreme court shall by rule provide for the speedy disposition of all matters not decided within such period.” See Rule 91(e), Rules of the Supreme Court; A.R.S. § 12-128.01. In addition, A.R.S. § 11-424.02(A) prohibits a justice of the peace from receiving compensation if a cause “remains pending and undetermined for sixty days after it has been submitted for decision.” These and other time requirements are discussed in depth in Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee, Advisory Opinion 06-02 (April 25, 2006).

RULE 2.6. Ensuring the Right to Be Heard

(A) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person’s lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.

(B) A judge may encourage parties to a proceeding and their lawyers to settle matters in dispute, but shall not coerce any party into settlement.

Comment

1. The right to be heard is an essential component of a fair and impartial system of justice. Substantive rights of litigants can be protected only if procedures protecting the right to be heard are observed.

2. The judge plays an important role in overseeing the settlement of disputes, but should be careful that efforts to further settlement do not undermine any party's right to be heard according to law. The judge should keep in mind the effect that the judge's participation in settlement discussions may have, not only on the judge's own views of the case, but also on the perceptions of the lawyers and the parties if the case remains with the judge after settlement efforts are unsuccessful. Among the factors that a judge should consider when deciding upon an appropriate settlement practice for a case are (1) whether the parties have requested or voluntarily consented to a certain level of participation by the judge in settlement discussions, (2) whether the parties and their counsel are relatively sophisticated in legal matters, (3) whether the case will be tried by the judge or a jury, or is on appellate review, (4) whether the parties participate with their counsel in settlement discussions, (5) whether any parties are unrepresented by counsel, (6) whether the matter is civil or criminal, and (7) whether the judge involved in the settlement discussions will also be involved in the decision on the merits.

3. Judges must be mindful of the effect settlement discussions can have, not only on their objectivity and impartiality, but also on the appearance of their objectivity and impartiality. Despite a judge's best efforts, there may be instances when information obtained during settlement discussions could influence a judge's decision-making during trial or on appeal and, in such instances, the judge should consider whether disqualification may be appropriate. See Rule 2.11(A)(1).

RULE 2.7. Responsibility to Decide

A judge shall hear and decide matters assigned to the judge, except when disqualification is required by Rule 2.11 or other law.

Comment

1. Although there are times when disqualification is necessary to protect the rights of litigants and preserve public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, judges must be available to decide matters that come before the courts. Unwarranted disqualification may bring public disfavor to the court and to the judge personally. The dignity of the court, the judge's respect for fulfillment of judicial duties, and a proper concern for the burdens that may be imposed upon the judge's colleagues require that a judge not use disqualification to avoid cases that present difficult, controversial, or unpopular issues.

2. A judge is not ethically obligated to automatically recuse himself or herself from a case in which one of the litigants has filed a complaint against the judge with the Commission on Judicial Conduct. See Advisory Opinion 98-02.

RULE 2.8. Decorum, Demeanor, and Communication with Jurors

(A) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the court.

(B) A judge shall be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, court staff, court officials, and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and shall require similar conduct of lawyers, court staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge's direction and control.

(C) A judge shall not commend or criticize jurors for their verdict other than in a court order or opinion in a proceeding, but may express appreciation to jurors for their service to the judicial system and the community.

Comment

1. The duty to hear all proceedings with patience and courtesy is not inconsistent with the duty imposed in Rule 2.5 to dispose promptly of the business of the court. Judges can be efficient and businesslike while being patient and deliberate.

2. Commending or criticizing jurors for their verdict may imply a judicial expectation in future cases and may impair a juror's ability to be fair and impartial in a subsequent case. There are several exceptions to this general rule, however, and with certain qualifications judges may speak to a discharged jury following the return of a verdict. See Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee Opinion 01-01 (reissued January 22, 2003). This rule does not preclude a judge from communicating with jurors personally, in writing, or through court personnel to obtain information for the purpose of improving the administration of justice.

RULE 2.9. Ex Parte Communication

(A) A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties or their lawyers, concerning a pending or impending matter, except as follows:

- (1) When circumstances require it, ex parte communication for scheduling, administrative, or emergency purposes, which does not address substantive matters, is permitted, provided:
 - (a) the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural, substantive, or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication; and
 - (b) the judge makes provision to promptly notify all other parties of the substance of the ex parte communication, and gives the parties an opportunity to respond.
- (2) A judge may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding.
- (3) A judge may consult with other judges, or with court personnel whose functions are to aid the judge in carrying out the judge's adjudicative responsibilities. If in doing so the judge acquires factual information that is not part of the record, the judge shall make provision promptly to notify the parties of the substance of the information and provide the parties with an opportunity to respond. The judge may not abrogate the responsibility personally to decide the matter.
- (4) A judge may, with the consent of the parties, confer separately with the parties and their lawyers in an effort to settle matters pending before the judge.
- (5) A judge may initiate, permit, or consider any ex parte communication when expressly authorized by law to do so.

(6) A judge may engage in ex parte communications when serving on problem-solving courts, if such communications are authorized by protocols known and consented to by the parties or by local rules.

(B) If a judge inadvertently receives an unauthorized ex parte communication bearing upon the substance of a matter, the judge shall make provision to promptly notify the parties of the substance of the communication and provide the parties with an opportunity to respond.

(C) Except as otherwise provided by law, a judge shall not investigate facts in a matter independently, and shall consider only the evidence presented and any facts that may properly be judicially noticed.

(D) A judge shall make reasonable efforts, including providing appropriate supervision, to ensure that this rule is not violated by court staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge's direction and control.

Comment

1. To the extent reasonably possible, all parties or their lawyers shall be included in communications with a judge. A judge may also direct judicial staff, without invoking the notice and disclosure provisions of this rule, to screen written ex parte communications and to take appropriate action consistent with this rule.

2. Whenever the presence of a party or notice to a party is required by this rule, it is the party's lawyer, or if the party is unrepresented, the party, who is to be present or to whom notice is to be given.

3. The proscription against communications concerning a proceeding includes communications with persons who are not participants in the proceeding, except to the limited extent permitted by this rule.

4. When serving on problem-solving courts, such as mental health courts or drug courts, judges may assume a more interactive role with parties, treatment providers, probation officers, social workers, and others. See Application, Part A, Comment 3.

5. A judge may consult with other judges on pending matters, but must avoid ex parte discussions of a case with judges who have previously been disqualified from hearing the matter, and with judges who have appellate jurisdiction over the matter.

6. The prohibition against a judge independently investigating the facts in a matter extends to information available in all mediums, including electronic.

7. A judge may consult ethics advisory committees, outside counsel, or legal experts concerning the judge's compliance with this code.

8. An appropriate and often desirable procedure for a court to obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on legal issues is to invite the expert to file a brief *amicus curiae*.

9. A judge may request a party to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, so long as the other parties are apprised of the request and are given an opportunity to respond to the proposed findings and conclusions.

10. If communication between the trial judge and the appellate court with respect to a proceeding is permitted, a copy of any written communication or the substance of any oral communication should be provided to all parties.

RULE 2.10. Judicial Statements on Pending and Impending Cases

(A) A judge shall not make any public statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court, or make any nonpublic statement that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing.

(B) A judge shall not, in connection with cases, controversies, or issues that are likely to come before the court, make pledges, promises, or commitments that are inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicative duties of judicial office.

(C) A judge shall require court staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge's direction and control to refrain from making statements that the judge would be prohibited from making by paragraphs (A) and (B).

(D) Notwithstanding the restrictions in paragraph (A), a judge may make public statements in the course of official duties, may explain court procedures, and may comment on any proceeding in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity.

(E) Subject to the requirements of paragraph (A), a judge may respond directly or through a third party to allegations in the media or elsewhere concerning the judge's conduct in a matter.

Comment

1. This rule's restrictions on judicial speech are essential to the maintenance of the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary.

2. This rule does not prohibit a judge from commenting on proceedings in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity. In cases in which the judge is a litigant in an administrative capacity, the judge may comment publicly on the merits of the case. In cases in which the judge is a litigant in a nominal capacity, such as a special action, the judge must not comment publicly except as otherwise specifically permitted by this rule.

3. Depending upon the circumstances, the judge should consider whether it may be preferable for a third party, rather than the judge, to respond or issue statements in connection with allegations concerning the judge's conduct in a matter.

RULE 2.11. Disqualification

(A) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances:

- (1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding.
- (2) The judge knows that the judge, the judge's spouse or domestic partner, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse or domestic partner of such a person is:

- (a) a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, general partner, managing member, or trustee of a party;
 - (b) acting as a lawyer in the proceeding;
 - (c) a person who has more than a de minimis interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding; or
 - (d) likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.
- (3) The judge knows that he or she, individually or as a fiduciary, or the judge's spouse, domestic partner, parent, or child, or any other member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household, has an economic interest, as defined by this code or Arizona law, in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding.
- (4) The judge knows or learns by means of a timely motion that a party, a party's lawyer, or the law firm of a party's lawyer has within the previous four years made aggregate contributions to the judge's campaign in an amount that is greater than the amounts permitted pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-905.
- (5) The judge, while a judge or a judicial candidate, has made a public statement, other than in a court proceeding, judicial decision, or opinion, that commits or appears to commit the judge to reach a particular result or rule in a particular way in the proceeding or controversy.
- (6) The judge:
- (a) served as a lawyer in the matter in controversy, or was associated with a lawyer in the preceding four years who participated substantially as a lawyer in the matter during such association;
 - (b) served in governmental employment, and in such capacity participated personally and substantially as a lawyer or public official concerning the proceeding, or has publicly expressed in such capacity an opinion concerning the merits of the particular matter in controversy;
 - (c) was a material witness concerning the matter; or
 - (d) previously presided as a judge over the matter in another court.

(B) A judge shall keep reasonably informed about the judge's personal and fiduciary economic interests, and make a reasonable effort to keep informed about the personal economic interests of the judge's spouse or domestic partner and minor children residing in the judge's household.

(C) A judge subject to disqualification under this rule, other than for bias or prejudice under paragraph (A)(1), may disclose on the record the basis of the judge's disqualification and may ask the parties and their lawyers to consider, outside the presence of the judge and court personnel, whether to waive disqualification. If, following the disclosure, the parties and lawyers agree, without participation by the judge or court personnel, that the judge should not

be disqualified, the judge may participate in the proceeding. The agreement shall be incorporated into the record of the proceeding.

(D) Official communications received in the course of performing judicial functions as well as information gained through training programs and from experience do not in themselves create a basis for disqualification.

Comment

1. Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless of whether any of the specific provisions of paragraphs (A)(1) through (5) apply.

2. A judge's obligation not to hear or decide matters in which disqualification is required applies regardless of whether a motion to disqualify is filed.

3. The rule of necessity may override the rule of disqualification. For example, a judge might be required to participate in judicial review of a judicial salary statute or might be the only judge available in a matter requiring immediate judicial action, such as a hearing on probable cause or a temporary restraining order. In matters that require immediate action, the judge must disclose on the record the basis for possible disqualification and make reasonable efforts to transfer the matter to another judge as soon as practicable.

4. The fact that a lawyer in a proceeding is affiliated with a law firm with which a member of the judge's family is affiliated does not itself disqualify the judge. If, however, the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned under paragraph (A), or a member of the judge's family is known by the judge to have an interest in the law firm that could be substantially affected by the proceeding under paragraph (A)(2)(c), the judge's disqualification is required.

5. A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might reasonably consider relevant to a possible motion for disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no basis for disqualification.

6. "Economic interest," as set forth in the Terminology section, means ownership of more than a de minimis legal or equitable interest and is further defined, for purposes of compliance with state law, in A.R.S. § 38-502(11). Except for situations in which a judge participates in the management of such a legal or equitable interest, or the interest could be substantially affected by the outcome of a proceeding before a judge, it does not include:

- (a) an interest in the individual holdings within a mutual or common investment fund;
- (b) an interest in securities held by an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organization in which the judge or the judge's spouse, domestic partner, parent, or child serves as a director, officer, advisor, or other participant;
- (c) a deposit in a financial institution or deposits or proprietary interests the judge may maintain as a member of a mutual savings association or credit union, or similar proprietary interests; or
- (d) an interest in the issuer of government securities held by the judge.

7. A lawyer in a government agency does not ordinarily have an association with other lawyers employed by that agency within the meaning of Rule 2.11(A)(6)(a); a judge formerly employed by a government agency, however, should disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding if the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned because of such association.

Rule 2.12. Supervisory Duties

(A) A judge shall require court staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge's direction and control to act in a manner consistent with the judge's obligations under this code.

(B) A judge with supervisory authority for the performance of other judges shall take reasonable measures to ensure that those judges properly discharge their judicial responsibilities, including the prompt disposition of matters before them.

(C) A judge shall require staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge's direction and control to comply with the provisions of the Code of Conduct for Judicial Employees adopted by the supreme court.

Comment

1. A judge is responsible for his or her own conduct and for the conduct of others, such as staff, when those persons are acting at the judge's direction or control. A judge may not direct court personnel to engage in conduct on the judge's behalf or as the judge's representative when such conduct would violate the code if undertaken by the judge.

2. Public confidence in the judicial system depends upon timely justice. To promote the efficient administration of justice, a judge with supervisory authority must take the steps needed to ensure that judges under his or her supervision administer their workloads promptly.

Rule 2.13. Administrative Appointments

(A) In making administrative appointments, a judge:

- (1) shall exercise the power of appointment impartially and on the basis of merit; and
- (2) shall avoid nepotism, favoritism, and unnecessary appointments.

(B) A judge shall not approve compensation of appointees beyond the fair value of services rendered.

Comment

1. Appointees of a judge include assigned counsel, officials such as referees, commissioners, special masters, receivers, and guardians, and personnel such as clerks, secretaries, and bailiffs. Consent by the parties to an appointment or an award of compensation does not relieve the judge of the obligation prescribed by paragraph (A).

2. Unless otherwise defined by law, nepotism is the appointment or hiring of any relative within the third degree of relationship of either the judge or the judge's spouse or domestic partner, or the spouse or domestic partner of such relative. Arizona's anti-nepotism statute, which applies to judicial officers, is found in A.R.S. § 38-481.

RULE 2.14. Disability and Impairment

A judge having a reasonable belief that the performance of a lawyer or another judge is impaired by drugs or alcohol, or by a mental, emotional, or physical condition, shall take appropriate action, which may include a confidential referral to a lawyer or judicial assistance program.

Comment

1. “Appropriate action” means action intended and reasonably likely to help the judge or lawyer in question address the problem and prevent harm to the justice system. Depending upon the circumstances, appropriate action may include but is not limited to speaking directly to the impaired person, notifying an individual with supervisory responsibility over the impaired person, or making a referral to an assistance program.

2. Taking or initiating corrective action by way of referral to an assistance program may satisfy a judge’s responsibility under this rule. Assistance programs have many approaches for offering help to impaired judges and lawyers, such as intervention, counseling, or referral to appropriate health care professionals. Depending upon the gravity of the conduct that has come to the judge’s attention, however, the judge may be required to take other action, such as reporting the impaired judge or lawyer to the appropriate authority, agency, or body. See Rule 2.15.

RULE 2.15. Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct

(A) A judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge’s honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.

(B) A judge having knowledge that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question regarding the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.

(C) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this code shall take appropriate action.

(D) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct shall take appropriate action.

(E) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities required or permitted by Rule 2.15 are part of a judge’s judicial duties and shall be absolutely privileged, and no civil action predicated thereon may be instituted against the judge.

Comment

1. Taking action to address known misconduct is a judge’s obligation. Paragraphs (A) and (B) impose an obligation on the judge to report to the appropriate disciplinary authority the known misconduct of another judge or a lawyer that raises a substantial question regarding the honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness of that judge or lawyer. Ignoring or denying known misconduct among one’s judicial colleagues or members of the legal profession undermines a judge’s responsibility to participate in efforts to ensure public respect for the justice system. This

rule limits the reporting obligation to those offenses that an independent judiciary must vigorously endeavor to prevent.

2. A judge who does not have actual knowledge that another judge or a lawyer may have committed misconduct, but receives information indicating a substantial likelihood of such misconduct, is required to take appropriate action under paragraphs (C) and (D). Appropriate action may include, but is not limited to, communicating directly with the judge who may have violated this code, communicating with a supervising judge, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body. Similarly, actions to be taken in response to information indicating that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct may include but are not limited to communicating directly with the lawyer who may have committed the violation, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body.

RULE 2.16. Cooperation with Disciplinary Authorities

(A) A judge shall cooperate and be candid and honest with judicial and lawyer disciplinary agencies.

(B) A judge shall not retaliate, directly or indirectly, against a person known or suspected to have assisted or cooperated with an investigation of a judge or a lawyer.

Comment

1. Cooperation with investigations and proceedings of judicial and lawyer discipline agencies, as required in paragraph (A), instills confidence in judges' commitment to the integrity of the judicial system and the protection of the public.

2. Judicial employees have a right to cooperate or communicate with the Commission on Judicial Conduct at any time, without fear of reprisal, for the purpose of discussing potential or actual judicial misconduct.

CANON 3

A JUDGE SHALL CONDUCT THE JUDGE'S EXTRAJUDICIAL ACTIVITIES TO MINIMIZE THE RISK OF CONFLICT WITH THE OBLIGATIONS OF JUDICIAL OFFICE

RULE 3.1. Extrajudicial Activities in General

A judge may engage in extrajudicial activities, except as prohibited by law or this code. However, when engaging in extrajudicial activities, a judge shall not:

(A) participate in activities that will interfere with the proper performance of the judge's judicial duties;

(B) participate in activities that will lead to frequent disqualification of the judge;

(C) participate in activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality or demean the judicial office;

(D) engage in conduct that would appear to a reasonable person to be coercive; or

(E) make use of court premises, staff, stationery, equipment, or other resources, except for activities that concern the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice, or unless such additional use is permitted by law.

Comment

1. To the extent that time permits, and judicial independence and impartiality are not compromised, judges are encouraged to engage in appropriate extrajudicial activities. Judges are uniquely qualified to engage in extrajudicial activities that concern the law, the legal system, and the administration of justice, such as by speaking, writing, teaching, or participating in scholarly research projects. In addition, judges are permitted and encouraged to engage in educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic extrajudicial activities not conducted for profit, even when the activities do not involve the law. See Rule 3.7.

2. Participation in both law-related and other extrajudicial activities helps integrate judges into their communities and furthers public understanding of and respect for courts and the judicial system.

3. Discriminatory actions and expressions of bias or prejudice by a judge, even outside the judge's official or judicial actions, are likely to appear to a reasonable person to call into question the judge's integrity and impartiality. Examples include jokes or other remarks that demean individuals based upon their race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, political affiliation, or socioeconomic status. For the same reason, a judge's extrajudicial activities must not be conducted in connection or affiliation with an organization that practices invidious discrimination. See Rule 3.6.

4. While engaged in permitted extrajudicial activities, judges must not coerce others or take action that would reasonably be perceived as coercive. For example, depending upon the circumstances, a judge's solicitation of contributions or memberships for an organization, even as permitted by Rule 3.7(A), might create the risk that the person solicited would feel obligated to respond favorably or would do so to curry favor with the judge.

5. The telecommunications policy of the Arizona judiciary, which defines the permissible uses of electronic equipment, is set forth in Part 1, Chapter 5, § 1-503 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration.

RULE 3.2. Appearances Before Governmental Bodies and Consultation with Government Officials.

A judge shall not appear voluntarily at a public hearing before, or otherwise consult with, an executive or a legislative body or official, except:

(A) in connection with matters concerning the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice;

(B) in connection with matters about which the judge acquired knowledge or expertise in the course of the judge's judicial duties; or

(C) when the judge is acting in a matter involving the judge's interests or when the judge is acting in a fiduciary capacity.

Comment

1. Judges possess special expertise in matters of law, the legal system, and the administration of justice, and may properly share that expertise with governmental bodies and executive or legislative branch officials.

2. In appearing before governmental bodies or consulting with government officials, judges must be mindful that they remain subject to other provisions of this code, such as Rule 1.3, prohibiting judges from using the prestige of office to advance their own or others' interests, Rule 2.10, governing public comment on pending and impending matters, and Rule 3.1(C), prohibiting judges from engaging in extrajudicial activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality.

3. In general, it would be an unnecessary and unfair burden to prohibit judges from appearing before governmental bodies or consulting with government officials on matters that are likely to affect them as private citizens, such as zoning proposals affecting their real property. In engaging in such activities, however, judges must not refer to their judicial positions and must otherwise exercise caution to avoid using the prestige of judicial office.

RULE 3.3. Acting as a Character Witness

A judge shall not testify as a character witness in a judicial, administrative, or other adjudicatory proceeding or otherwise vouch for the character of a person in a legal proceeding, except when duly summoned.

Comment

A judge who, without being subpoenaed, testifies as a character witness abuses the prestige of judicial office to advance the interests of another. See Rule 1.3. Except in unusual circumstances where the demands of justice require, a judge should discourage a party from requiring the judge to testify as a character witness.

RULE 3.4. Appointments to Governmental Positions

A judge shall not accept appointment to a governmental committee, board, commission, or other governmental position, unless it is one that concerns the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice.

Comment

1. Rule 3.4 implicitly acknowledges the value of judges accepting appointments to entities that concern the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice. Even in such instances, however, a judge should assess the appropriateness of accepting an appointment, paying particular attention to the subject matter of the appointment and the availability and allocation of judicial resources, including the judge's time commitments, and giving due regard to the requirements of the independence and impartiality of the judiciary.

2. A judge may represent his or her country, state, or locality on ceremonial occasions or in connection with historical, educational, or cultural activities. Such representation does not constitute acceptance of a government position.

RULE 3.5. Use of Nonpublic Information

A judge shall not intentionally disclose or use nonpublic information acquired in a judicial capacity for any purpose unrelated to the judge's judicial duties.

Comment

1. In the course of performing judicial duties a judge may acquire information of commercial or other value that is unavailable to the public. The judge must not reveal or use such information for personal gain or for any purpose unrelated to his or her judicial duties.

2. This rule is not intended to affect a judge's ability to act on information as necessary to protect the health or safety of any individual if consistent with other provisions of this code.

Rule 3.6. Affiliation with Discriminatory Organizations

(A) A judge shall not hold membership in any organization that practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, or sexual orientation.

(B) A judge shall not use the benefits or facilities of an organization if the judge knows or should know that the organization practices invidious discrimination on one or more of the bases identified in paragraph (A). A judge's attendance at an event in a facility of an organization that the judge is not permitted to join is not a violation of this Rule when the judge's attendance is an isolated event that could not reasonably be perceived as an endorsement of the organization's practices.

(C) A judge's membership or participation in a religious organization as a lawful exercise of the freedom of religion, or a judge's membership or participation in an organization that engages in expressive activity from which the judge cannot be excluded consistent with the judge's lawful exercise of his or her freedom of expression or association, is not a violation of this rule.

Comment

1. A judge's public manifestation of approval of invidious discrimination on any basis gives rise to the appearance of impropriety and diminishes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary. A judge's membership in an organization that practices invidious discrimination creates the perception that the judge's impartiality is impaired.

2. An organization is generally said to discriminate invidiously if it arbitrarily excludes from membership on the basis of race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, or sexual orientation persons who would otherwise be eligible for admission. Whether an organization practices invidious discrimination is a complex question to which judges should be attentive. The answer cannot be determined from a mere examination of an organization's current membership rolls, but rather, depends upon how the organization selects members, as well as other relevant factors, such as whether the organization stigmatizes excluded persons as inferior and odious, whether it perpetuates and celebrates cultures, historical events, and ethnic or religious beliefs, identities, or traditions, or whether it is an intimate, purely private organization whose membership limitations could not constitutionally be prohibited.

3. When a judge learns that an organization to which the judge belongs engages in invidious discrimination, the judge must resign immediately from the organization.

4. This rule does not prohibit a judge's national or state military service.

RULE 3.7. Participation in Educational, Religious, Charitable, Fraternal, or Civic Organizations and Activities

(A) A judge may not directly solicit funds for an organization. However, subject to the requirements of Rule 3.1, a judge may participate in activities sponsored by organizations or governmental entities concerned with the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice, and those sponsored by or on behalf of educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organizations not conducted for profit, including but not limited to the following activities:

- (1) assisting such an organization or entity in planning related to fund-raising, volunteering services or goods at fund-raising events, and participating in the management and investment of the organization's or entity's funds;
- (2) soliciting contributions for such an organization or entity, but only from members of the judge's family or from judges over whom the judge does not exercise supervisory or appellate authority;
- (3) soliciting membership for such an organization or entity, even though the membership dues or fees generated may be used to support the objectives of the organization or entity, but only if the organization or entity is concerned with the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice;
- (4) appearing or speaking at, receiving an award or other recognition at, being featured on the program of, and permitting his or her title to be used in connection with an event of such an organization or entity, but if the event serves a fund-raising purpose, the judge may do so only if the event concerns the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice.

- (5) making or soliciting recommendations to such a public or private fund-granting organization or entity in connection with its fund-granting programs and activities, but only if the organization or entity is concerned with the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice; and
 - (6) serving as an officer, director, trustee, or nonlegal advisor of such an organization or entity, unless it is likely that the organization or entity:
 - (a) will be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge; or
 - (b) will frequently be engaged in adversary proceedings in the court of which the judge is a member, or in any court subject to the appellate jurisdiction of the court of which the judge is a member.
- (B) A judge may encourage lawyers to provide pro bono legal services.
- (C) Subject to the preceding requirements, a judge may:
- (1) Provide leadership in identifying and addressing issues involving equal access to the justice system; develop public education programs; engage in activities to promote the fair administration of justice; and convene or participate or assist in advisory committees and community collaborations devoted to the improvement of the law, the legal system, the provision of services, or the administration of justice.
 - (2) Endorse projects and programs directly related to the law, the legal system, the administration of justice, and the provision of services to those coming before the courts, and may actively support the need for funding of such projects and programs.
 - (3) Participate in programs concerning the law or which promote the administration of justice.

Comment

1. The activities permitted by paragraph (A) generally include those sponsored by or undertaken on behalf of public or private not-for-profit educational institutions, and other not-for-profit organizations, including law-related, charitable, and other organizations. An organization concerned with the law, the legal system, and the administration of justice may include an accredited institution of legal education, whether for-profit or not-for-profit.

2. Even for law-related organizations, a judge should consider whether the membership and purposes of the organization, or the nature of the judge's participation in or association with the organization, would conflict with the judge's obligation to refrain from activities that reflect adversely upon a judge's independence, integrity, and impartiality.

3. Mere attendance at an event, whether or not the event serves a fund-raising purpose, does not constitute participation in violation of paragraph (A)(4). It is also generally permissible for a judge to serve as an usher or a food server or preparer, or to perform similar functions, at fund-raising events sponsored by educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organizations. Such activities are not solicitation and do not present an element of coercion or abuse the prestige of judicial office.

4. Identification of a judge's position in educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organizations on letterhead used for fund-raising or membership solicitation does not violate this Rule. The letterhead may list the judge's title or judicial office if comparable designations are used for other persons.

5. In addition to appointing lawyers to serve as counsel for indigent parties in individual cases, a judge may promote broader access to justice by encouraging lawyers to participate in pro bono legal services, if in doing so the judge does not employ coercion or abuse the prestige of judicial office. Such encouragement may take many forms, including providing lists of available programs, training lawyers to do pro bono legal work, and participating in events recognizing lawyers who have done pro bono work.

6. A judge may be an announced speaker at a fund-raising event benefitting indigent representation, scholarships for law students, or accredited institutions of legal education.

RULE 3.8. Appointments to Fiduciary Positions

(A) A judge shall not accept appointment to serve in a fiduciary position, such as executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, attorney in fact, or other personal representative, except for the estate, trust, or person of a member of the judge's family, and then only if such service will not interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties.

(B) A judge shall not serve in a fiduciary position if the judge as fiduciary will likely be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge, or if the estate, trust, or ward becomes involved in adversary proceedings in the court on which the judge serves, or one under its appellate jurisdiction.

(C) A judge acting in a fiduciary capacity shall be subject to the same restrictions on engaging in financial activities that apply to a judge personally.

(D) If a person who is serving in a fiduciary position becomes a judge, he or she must comply with this rule as soon as reasonably practicable, but in no event later than one year after becoming a judge.

Comment

A judge should recognize that other restrictions imposed by this code may conflict with a judge's obligations as a fiduciary; in such circumstances, a judge should resign as fiduciary. For example, serving as a fiduciary might require frequent disqualification of a judge under Rule 2.11 because a judge is deemed to have an economic interest in shares of stock held by a trust if the amount of stock held is more than de minimis.

RULE 3.9. Service as Arbitrator or Mediator

A judge shall not act as an arbitrator or a mediator or perform other judicial functions apart from the judge's official duties unless expressly authorized by law.

Comment

1. This rule does not prohibit a judge from participating in arbitration, mediation, or settlement conferences performed as part of assigned judicial duties. Rendering dispute

resolution services apart from those duties, whether or not for economic gain, is prohibited unless it is expressly authorized by law.

2. Retired, part-time, or pro tempore judges may be exempt from this section. See Application, Parts B, C(2) and D(2).

RULE 3.10. Practice of Law

A judge shall not practice law. A judge may represent himself or herself and may, without compensation, give legal advice to and draft or review documents for a member of the judge's family, but is prohibited from serving as the family member's lawyer in any forum.

Comment

1. A judge may act as his or her own attorney in all legal matters, including matters involving litigation and matters involving appearances before or other dealings with governmental bodies. A judge must not use the prestige of office to advance the judge's personal or family interests. See Rule 1.3.

2. Retired, part-time, or pro tempore judges may be exempt from this section. See Application, Parts B, C(1)(b) and D(1)(b).

3. Judges who are actively practicing law at the time of their election or appointment to the bench are encouraged to become familiar with ethical considerations immediately affecting the transition from lawyer to judge. Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee Opinion 00-07 (December 20, 2000).

4. This rule does not prohibit the practice of law pursuant to military service.

RULE 3.11. Financial, Business, or Remunerative Activities

(A) A judge may hold and manage investments of the judge and members of the judge's family.

(B) A judge shall not serve as an officer, director, manager, general partner, advisor, or employee of any business entity except that a judge may manage or participate in:

- (1) a business closely held by the judge or members of the judge's family; or
- (2) a business entity primarily engaged in investment of the financial resources of the judge or members of the judge's family.

(C) A judge shall not engage in financial activities permitted under paragraphs (A) and (B) if they will:

- (1) interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties;
- (2) lead to frequent disqualification of the judge;
- (3) involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with lawyers or other persons likely to come before the court on which the judge serves; or
- (4) result in violation of other provisions of this code.

Comment

1. Judges are generally permitted to engage in financial activities, including managing real estate and other investments for themselves or for members of their families. Participation in these activities, like participation in other extrajudicial activities, is subject to the requirements of this code. For example, it would be improper for a judge to spend so much time on business activities that it interferes with the performance of judicial duties. See Rule 2.1. Similarly, it would be improper for a judge to use his or her official title or appear in judicial robes in business advertising, or to conduct his or her business or financial affairs in such a way that disqualification is frequently required. See Rules 1.3 and 2.11.

2. As soon as practicable without serious financial detriment, the judge must divest himself or herself of investments and other financial interests that might require frequent disqualification or otherwise violate this rule.

3. A judge's uncompensated participation as an officer, director, or advisor of an organization concerned with the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice is not prohibited by this rule. See Rule 3.7, Comment 1.

4. To the extent permitted by Rule 1.3, a judge's participation as a teacher at an educational institution is not prohibited by this rule. See Rule 3.12, Comment 1.

RULE 3.12. Compensation for Extrajudicial Activities

A judge may accept reasonable compensation for extrajudicial activities permitted by this code or other law unless such acceptance would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality.

Comment

1. A judge is permitted to accept honoraria, stipends, fees, wages, salaries, royalties, or other compensation for speaking, teaching, writing, and other extrajudicial activities, provided the compensation is reasonable and commensurate with the task performed. The judge should be mindful, however, that judicial duties must take precedence over other activities. See Rule 2.1.

2. Compensation derived from extrajudicial activities may be subject to public reporting. See Rule 3.15.

RULE 3.13. Acceptance and Reporting of Gifts, Loans, Bequests, Benefits, or Other Things of Value

(A) A judge shall not accept any gifts, loans, bequests, benefits, or other things of value, if acceptance is prohibited by law or would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality.

(B) Unless otherwise prohibited by law or by paragraph (A), a judge may accept the following:

- (1) items with little intrinsic value, such as plaques, certificates, trophies, and greeting cards;
- (2) gifts, loans, bequests, benefits, or other things of value from friends, relatives, or other persons, including lawyers, whose appearance or interest in a proceeding

pending or impending before the judge would in any event require disqualification of the judge under Rule 2.11;

- (3) ordinary social hospitality;
- (4) commercial or financial opportunities and benefits, including special pricing and discounts, and loans from lending institutions in their regular course of business, if the same opportunities and benefits or loans are made available on the same terms to similarly situated persons who are not judges;
- (5) rewards and prizes given to competitors or participants in random drawings, contests, or other events that are open to persons who are not judges;
- (6) scholarships, fellowships, and similar benefits or awards granted on the same terms and based on the same criteria applied to other applicants;
- (7) books, magazines, journals, audiovisual materials, and other resource materials supplied by publishers on a complimentary basis for official use;
- (8) gifts, awards, or benefits associated with the business, profession, or other separate activity of a spouse, a domestic partner, or other family member of a judge residing in the judge's household, but that incidentally benefit the judge;
- (9) gifts incident to a public testimonial;
- (10) invitations to the judge and the judge's spouse, domestic partner, or guest to attend without charge:
 - (a) an event associated with a bar-related function or other activity relating to the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice; or
 - (b) an event associated with any of the judge's educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic activities permitted by this code, if the same invitation is offered to nonjudges who are engaged in similar ways in the activity as is the judge.

(C) A judge shall report the acceptance of any gift, loan, bequest, or other thing of value as required by Rule 3.15.

Comment

1. Whenever a judge accepts a gift or other thing of value without paying fair market value, there is a risk that the benefit might be viewed as intended to influence the judge's decision in a case. Rule 3.13 prohibits the acceptance of such benefits except in circumstances where the risk of improper influence is low and subject to applicable financial disclosure requirements. See Rule 3.15.

2. Gift-giving between friends and relatives is a common occurrence and ordinarily does not create an appearance of impropriety or cause reasonable persons to believe that the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality has been compromised. In addition, when the appearance of friends or relatives in a case would require the judge's disqualification under Rule 2.11, there would be no opportunity for a gift to influence the judge's decision making.

Paragraph (B)(2) places no restrictions upon the ability of a judge to accept gifts or other things of value from friends or relatives under these circumstances but may require public reporting.

3. The receipt of ordinary social hospitality, commensurate with the occasion, is not likely to undermine the integrity of the judiciary. However, the receipt of other gifts and things of value from an attorney or party who has or is likely to come before the judge will be appropriate only in the rarest of circumstances.

4. Businesses and financial institutions frequently make available special pricing, discounts, and other benefits, either in connection with a temporary promotion or for preferred customers, based upon longevity of the relationship, volume of business transacted, and other factors. A judge may freely accept such benefits if they are available to the general public, or if the judge qualifies for the special price or discount according to the same criteria as are applied to persons who are not judges. As an example, loans provided at generally prevailing interest rates are not gifts, but a judge could not accept a loan from a financial institution at below-market interest rates unless the same rate was being made available to the general public for a certain period of time or only to borrowers with specified qualifications that the judge also possesses.

5. If a gift or other benefit is given to the judge's spouse, domestic partner, or member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household, it may be viewed as an attempt to influence the judge indirectly. A judge should remind family and household members of the reporting requirements imposed upon judges by Rule 3.15 and urge them to take these restrictions into account when making decisions about accepting such gifts or benefits.

6. Rule 3.13 does not apply to contributions to a judge's campaign for judicial office. Such contributions are governed by other rules of this code, including Rules 4.2 and 4.3.

RULE 3.14. Reimbursement of Expenses and Waivers of Fees or Charges

(A) Unless otherwise prohibited by Rules 3.1 and 3.13(A) or other law, a judge may accept reimbursement of necessary and reasonable expenses for travel, food, lodging, or other incidental expenses, or a waiver or partial waiver of fees or charges for registration, tuition, and similar items, from sources other than the judge's employing entity, if the expenses or charges are associated with the judge's participation in extrajudicial activities permitted by this code.

(B) Reimbursement of expenses for necessary travel, food, lodging, or other incidental expenses shall be limited to the actual costs reasonably incurred by the judge and, when appropriate to the occasion, by the judge's spouse, domestic partner, or guest.

(C) A judge who accepts reimbursement of expenses or waivers or partial waivers of fees or charges on behalf of the judge or the judge's spouse, domestic partner, or guest shall publicly report such acceptance as required by Rule 3.15.

Comment

1. Educational, civic, religious, fraternal, and charitable organizations often sponsor meetings, seminars, symposia, dinners, awards ceremonies, and similar events. Judges are encouraged to attend educational programs, as both teachers and participants, in law-related

and academic disciplines, in furtherance of their duty to remain competent in the law. Participation in a variety of other extrajudicial activity is also permitted and encouraged by this code.

2. Not infrequently, sponsoring organizations invite certain judges to attend seminars or other events on a fee-waived or partial-fee-waived basis, and sometimes include reimbursement for necessary travel, food, lodging, or other incidental expenses. A judge's decision whether to accept reimbursement of expenses or a waiver or partial waiver of fees or charges in connection with these or other extrajudicial activities must be based upon an assessment of all the circumstances. The judge must undertake a reasonable inquiry to obtain the information necessary to make an informed judgment about whether acceptance would be consistent with the requirements of this code.

3. A judge must determine whether acceptance of reimbursement or fee waivers would not appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality. The factors that a judge should consider when deciding whether to accept reimbursement or a fee waiver for attendance at a particular activity include:

- (a) whether the sponsor is an accredited educational institution or bar association rather than a trade association or a for-profit entity.
- (b) whether the funding comes largely from numerous contributors rather than from a single entity and is earmarked for programs with specific content;
- (c) whether the content is related or unrelated to the subject matter of litigation pending or impending before the judge, or to matters that are likely to come before the judge;
- (d) whether the activity is primarily educational rather than recreational, and whether the costs of the event are reasonable and comparable to those associated with similar events sponsored by the judiciary, bar associations, or similar groups;
- (e) whether information concerning the activity and its funding sources is available upon inquiry;
- (f) whether the sponsor or source of funding is generally associated with particular parties or interests currently appearing or likely to appear in the judge's court, thus possibly requiring disqualification of the judge under Rule 2.11;
- (g) whether differing viewpoints are presented; and
- (h) whether a broad range of judicial and nonjudicial participants are invited, whether a large number of participants are invited, and whether the program is designed specifically for judges.

RULE 3.15. Financial Reporting Requirements

(A) A judge shall file annually the financial disclosure statement required by A.R.S. § 38-542 or other applicable law. The completion and filing of the annual financial disclosure statement fulfills the reporting requirements set forth in this code.

(B) Reports made in compliance with this rule shall be filed as public documents in the office designated by law.

Comment

1. The information required to be reported by Rules 3.12, 3.13, and 3.14 is a portion of the information that must be included on the annual financial disclosure statement mandated by A.R.S. § 38-542 or other applicable law. A judge is obligated to disclose fully and accurately all information requested on the annual disclosure statement and does not fulfill the statutory obligation by reporting only the information required by Rules 3.12, 3.13, and 3.14. Applicable law requires sufficient disclosure of the financial interests of and gifts to a judge and members of his or her household to promote judicial accountability and integrity.

2. To avoid needless repetition of disclosure requirements, the Arizona judiciary deems compliance with the substantive legal requirement as sufficient to meet the ethical obligations of a judge and thus incorporates them in this code.

3. Reimbursement of expenses from a judge's employer need not be reported under Rule 3.14(C) or Rule 3.15.

RULE 3.16. Conducting Weddings

(A) The performance of wedding ceremonies by a judge is a discretionary function rather than a mandatory function of the court.

(B) A judge shall not interrupt or delay any regularly scheduled or pending court proceeding in order to perform a wedding ceremony.

(C) A judge shall not advertise his or her availability for performing wedding ceremonies.

(D) A judge shall not charge or accept a fee, honorarium, gratuity, or contribution for performing a wedding ceremony during court hours.

(E) A judge may charge a reasonable fee or honorarium to perform a wedding ceremony during non-court hours, whether the ceremony is performed in the court or away from the court.

CANON 4

A JUDGE OR CANDIDATE FOR JUDICIAL OFFICE SHALL NOT ENGAGE IN POLITICAL OR CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY THAT IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY, OR IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY

RULE 4.1. Political and Campaign Activities of Judges and Judicial Candidates in General

(A) A judge or a judicial candidate shall not do any of the following:

- (1) act as a leader in, or hold an office in, a political organization;
- (2) make speeches on behalf of a political organization or another candidate for public office;
- (3) publicly endorse or oppose another candidate for any public office;
- (4) solicit funds for or pay an assessment to a political organization or candidate, make contributions to any candidate or political organization in excess of the amounts permitted by law, or make total contributions in excess of fifty percent of the cumulative total permitted by law. See, e.g., A.R.S. § 16-905.
- (5) actively take part in any political campaign other than his or her own campaign for election, reelection or retention in office;
- (6) personally solicit or accept campaign contributions other than through a campaign committee authorized by Rule 4.4;
- (7) use or permit the use of campaign contributions for the private benefit of the judge, the candidate, or others, except as provided by law;
- (8) use court staff, facilities, or other court resources in a campaign for judicial office;
- (9) make any statement that would reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court; or
- (10) in connection with cases, controversies, or issues that are likely to come before the court, make pledges, promises, or commitments that are inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicative duties of judicial office.

(B) A judge or judicial candidate shall take reasonable measures to ensure that other persons do not undertake, on behalf of the judge or judicial candidate, any activities prohibited under paragraph (A).

(C) Except as prohibited by this code, a judge may:

- (1) engage in activities, including political activities, to improve the law, the legal system and the administration of justice; and
- (2) purchase tickets for political dinners or other similar functions, but attendance at any such functions shall be restricted so as not to constitute a public endorsement of a candidate or cause otherwise prohibited by these rules.

Comment

General Considerations

1. Even when subject to public election, a judge plays a role different from that of a legislator or executive branch official. Rather than making decisions based upon the expressed views or preferences of the electorate, a judge makes decisions based upon the law and the facts of every case. Therefore, in furtherance of this interest, judges and judicial candidates must, to the greatest extent possible, be free and appear to be free from political influence and political pressure.

2. When a person becomes a judicial candidate, this canon becomes applicable to his or her conduct. A successful judicial candidate is subject to discipline under the code for violation of any of the rules set forth in Canon 4, even if the candidate was not a judge during the period of candidacy. An unsuccessful judicial candidate who is a lawyer and violates this code may be subject to discipline under applicable court rules governing lawyers.

Participation in Political Activities

3. Public confidence in the independence and impartiality of the judiciary is eroded if judges or judicial candidates are perceived to be subject to political influence. Although judges and judicial candidates may register to vote as members of a political party, they are prohibited by paragraph (A)(1) from assuming leadership roles in political organizations. Examples of such leadership roles include precinct committeemen and delegates or alternates to political conventions. Such positions would be inconsistent with an independent and impartial judiciary.

4. Paragraphs (A)(2) and (A)(3) prohibit judges and judicial candidates from making speeches on behalf of political organizations or publicly endorsing or opposing candidates for public office, respectively, to prevent them from abusing the prestige of judicial office to advance the interests of others. Paragraph (A)(3) does not prohibit a judge or judicial candidate from making recommendations in complying with Rule 1.3 and the related comments. These rules do not prohibit candidates from campaigning on their own behalf or opposing candidates for the same judicial office for which they are running.

5. Paragraph (A)(3) does not prohibit a judge or judicial candidate from privately expressing his or her views on judicial candidates or other candidates for public office.

6. A candidate does not publicly endorse another candidate for public office by having that candidate's name on the same ticket.

7. Although members of the families of judges and judicial candidates are free to engage in their own political activity, including running for public office, there is no “family exception” to the prohibition in paragraph (A)(3) against a judge or candidate publicly endorsing candidates for public office. A judge or judicial candidate must not become involved in, or publicly associated with, a family member’s political activity or campaign for public office. To avoid public misunderstanding, judges and judicial candidates should take and should urge members of their families to take reasonable steps to avoid any implication that the judge or judicial candidate endorses any family member’s candidacy or other political activity.

8. Judges and judicial candidates retain the right to participate in the political process as voters in all elections. For purposes of this canon, participation in a caucus-type election procedure does not constitute public support for or endorsement of a political organization or candidate and is not prohibited by paragraphs (A)(2) or (A)(3).

Statements and Comments Made During a Campaign for Judicial Office

9. Subject to paragraph (A)(9), a judicial candidate is permitted to respond directly to false, misleading, or unfair allegations made against him or her during a campaign, although it is permissible for someone else, including another judge, to respond if the allegations relate to a pending case.

10. Paragraph (A)(9) prohibits judicial candidates from making comments that might impair the fairness of pending or impending judicial proceedings. This provision does not restrict arguments or statements to the court or jury by a lawyer who is a judicial candidate, or rulings, statements, or instructions by a judge that may appropriately affect the outcome of a matter.

11. Paragraph (A)(9) must be read in conjunction with Rule 2.10, which allows judges to make public statements in the course of their official duties.

Pledges, Promises, or Commitments Inconsistent with Impartial Performance of the Adjudicative Duties of Judicial Office

12. The role of a judge is different from that of a legislator or executive branch official, even when the judge is subject to public election. Campaigns for judicial office must be conducted differently from campaigns for other offices. The narrowly drafted restrictions upon political and campaign activities of judicial candidates provided in Canon 4 allow candidates to conduct campaigns that provide voters with sufficient information to permit them to distinguish between candidates and make informed electoral choices.

13. Paragraph (A)(10) makes applicable to both judges and judicial candidates the prohibition that applies to judges in Rule 2.10(B), relating to pledges, promises, or commitments that are inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicative duties of judicial office.

14. The making of a pledge, promise, or commitment is not dependent upon, or limited to, the use of any specific words or phrases; instead, the totality of the statement must be examined to determine if a reasonable person would believe that the candidate for judicial office has specifically undertaken to reach a particular result. Pledges, promises, or commitments must be contrasted with statements or announcements of personal views on legal, political, or other

issues, which are not prohibited. When making such statements, a judge should acknowledge the overarching judicial obligation to apply and uphold the law, without regard to his or her personal views.

15. A judicial candidate may make campaign promises related to judicial organization, administration, and court management, such as a promise to dispose of a backlog of cases, start court sessions on time, or avoid favoritism in appointments and hiring. A candidate may also pledge to take action outside the courtroom, such as working toward an improved jury selection system or advocating for more funds to improve the physical plant and amenities of the courthouse.

16. Judicial candidates may receive questionnaires or requests for interviews from the media and from issue advocacy or other community organizations that seek to learn their views on disputed or controversial legal or political issues. Paragraph (A)(10) does not specifically address judicial responses to such inquiries. Depending upon the wording and format of such questionnaires, candidates' responses might be viewed as pledges, promises, or commitments to perform the adjudicative duties of office other than in an impartial way. To avoid violating paragraph (A)(10), therefore, candidates who respond to media and other inquiries should also give assurances that they will keep an open mind and will carry out their adjudicative duties faithfully and impartially if elected. Candidates who do not respond may state their reasons for not responding, such as the danger that answering might be perceived by a reasonable person as undermining a successful candidate's independence or impartiality, or that it might lead to frequent disqualification. See Rule 2.11.

RULE 4.2. Political and Campaign Activities of Judicial Candidates

(A) A judicial candidate shall:

- (1) act at all times in a manner consistent with the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary;
- (2) comply with all applicable election, election campaign, and election campaign fund-raising laws and regulations;
- (3) review and approve the content of all campaign statements and materials produced by the candidate or his or her campaign committee, as authorized by Rule 4.4, before their dissemination; and
- (4) take reasonable measures to ensure that other persons do not undertake on behalf of the candidate activities other than those described in Rule 4.4 that the candidate is prohibited from doing by Rule 4.1.

Rule 4.3. Campaign Standards and Communications

During the course of any campaign for nomination or election to judicial office, a judicial candidate, by means of campaign materials, including sample ballots, advertisements in the media, electronic communications, or a speech, press release, or any other public communication, shall not knowingly or with reckless disregard do any of the following:

(A) Post, publish, broadcast, transmit, circulate, or distribute information concerning the judicial candidate or an opponent that would be deceiving or misleading to a reasonable person;

(B) Manifest bias or prejudice toward an opponent that would be prohibited in the performance of judicial duties under Rule 2.3(B), which prohibition does not preclude a judicial candidate from making legitimate reference to the listed factors when they are relevant to the qualifications for judicial office;

(C) Use the title of an office not currently held by a judicial candidate in a manner that implies that the judicial candidate currently holds that office;

(D) Use the term “judge” when the judicial candidate is not a judge unless that term appears after or below the name of the judicial candidate and is accompanied by the words “elect” or “vote,” in prominent lettering, before the judicial candidate’s name or the word “for,” in prominent lettering, between the name of the judicial candidate and the term “judge”;

(E) Use the term “re-elect” when the judicial candidate has never been elected at a general or special election to the office for which he or she is a judicial candidate;

(F) Misrepresent the identity, qualifications, present position, or any other fact about the judicial candidate or an opponent;

(G) Make a false or misleading statement concerning the formal education or training completed or attempted by a judicial candidate; a degree, diploma, certificate, scholarship, grant, award, prize, or honor received, earned, or held by a judicial candidate; or the period of time during which a judicial candidate attended any school, technical program, college, or other educational institution;

(H) Make a false or misleading statement concerning the professional, occupational, or vocational licenses held by a judicial candidate, or the candidate’s employment history and descriptions of work-related titles or positions;

(I) Make a false or misleading statement about an opponent’s personal background or history;

(J) Falsely identify the source of a statement, issue statements under the name of another person without authorization, or falsely state the endorsement of or opposition to a judicial candidate by a person, organization, political party, or publication.

Comment

1. A judicial candidate must be scrupulously accurate, fair, and honest in all statements made by the candidate and his or her campaign committee. This rule obligates the candidate and the committee to refrain from making statements that are false or misleading or that omit facts necessary to avoid misleading voters.

2. A sitting judge, who is a judicial candidate for an office other than the court on which he or she currently serves, violates Rule 4.3(C) if he or she used the title “judge” without identifying the court on which the judge currently serves.

3. Judicial candidates are sometimes the subject of false, misleading, or unfair allegations made by opposing candidates, third parties, or the media. For example, false or misleading statements might be made regarding the identity, present position, experience, qualifications, or judicial rulings of a candidate. In other situations, false or misleading allegations may be made that bear upon a candidate's integrity or fitness for judicial office. As long as the candidate does not violate this rule, the candidate may make a factually accurate public response. In addition, when an independent third party has made unwarranted attacks on a candidate's opponent, the candidate may disavow the attacks and request the third party to cease and desist.

RULE 4.4. Campaign Committees

(A) A judicial candidate subject to public election may establish a campaign committee to manage and conduct a campaign for the candidate, subject to the provisions of this code. The candidate is responsible for ensuring that his or her campaign committee complies with applicable provisions of this code and other applicable law. See generally A.R.S. § 16-901 *et seq.*

(B) A judicial candidate subject to public election shall direct his or her campaign committee to solicit and accept only such campaign contributions as are permissible by law and to comply with all applicable statutory requirements for disclosure and divestiture of campaign contributions.

Comment

1. Judicial candidates are prohibited from personally soliciting campaign contributions or personally accepting campaign contributions. See Rule 4.1(A)(6). This rule recognizes that in many jurisdictions, judicial candidates must raise campaign funds to support their candidacies, and permits candidates, other than candidates for appointive judicial office, to establish campaign committees to solicit and accept lawful financial contributions or in-kind contributions.

2. Campaign committees may solicit and accept campaign contributions, manage the expenditure of campaign funds, and generally conduct campaigns. Candidates are responsible for compliance with the requirements of election law and other applicable law and for the activities of their campaign committees.

3. During the campaign, the candidate and his or her campaign committee should consider whether a contribution may affect the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judge. The judicial candidate and his or her campaign committee should be aware that contributions could create grounds for disqualification if the candidate is elected to judicial office. See Rule 2.11.

Rule 4.5. Activities of Judges Who Become Candidates for Nonjudicial Office

(A) Upon becoming a candidate for a nonjudicial elective office other than as a candidate to a constitutional convention, a judge shall resign from judicial office.

(B) Upon becoming a candidate for a nonjudicial appointive office, a judge is not required to resign from judicial office, provided that the judge complies with the other provisions of this code.

Comment

1. In campaigns for nonjudicial elective public office, candidates may make pledges, promises, or commitments related to positions they would take and ways they would act if elected to office. Although appropriate in nonjudicial campaigns, this manner of campaigning is inconsistent with the role of a judge, who must remain fair and impartial to all who come before him or her. The potential for misuse of the judicial office, and the political promises that the judge would be compelled to make in the course of campaigning for nonjudicial elective office, together dictate that a judge who wishes to run for such an office must resign upon becoming a candidate.

2. The “resign to run” rule set forth in paragraph (A) ensures that a judge cannot use the judicial office to promote his or her candidacy and prevents post-campaign retaliation from the judge in the event the judge is defeated in the election. When a judge is seeking appointive nonjudicial office, however, the dangers are not sufficient to warrant imposing the “resign to run” rule.

NOTES

INDEX TO THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

(Revised May 3, 2010)

This index is not a part of the official version of the code adopted by the Arizona Supreme Court. No representations are being made as to its completeness and suggestions for subject classifications are welcome at any time.

A

Abuse of prestige of office	Rule 1.3
Access to justice	Rule 1.2, Comment 4
Adjudicative responsibilities	Rules 2.2, 2.4, 2.5(A), 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9(A)(3)
Administrative responsibilities	Rules 2.5, 2.12 and 2.13
Administrative law judges	Application, Part A, Comment 1
Advertising, control of	Rule 1.3, Comment 4
Aggravating factors	Scope, para. 5
Appearance before government bodies	Rule 3.2
Appearance of impropriety	Preamble, para. 2; Canon 1, Rule 1.2
Appearance of impropriety, test for	Rule 1.2, Comment 5
Appellate judges, communication with	Rule 2.9, Comment 5.
Applicability of code to all judges	Application, Part A(1)
Applicability to judicial candidates	Application, Part A(2)
Application section, purpose of	Scope, para. 1
Appointments, administrative	Rule 2.13
Appointments, fiduciary	Rule 3.8
Appointments, governmental	Rule 3.4
Appropriate action, defined	Rule 2.14, Comment 1
“Appropriate authority,” defined	Terminology
Appropriate authority, reporting to	Rules 2.14, 2.15
Arbitrator, service as	Rule 3.9
Aspirational goals	Scope, para. 3
Attorney in fact	Rule 3.8(A)
Awards, accepting	Rule 3.13(B)(6) and (8)

B

Bar activities, invitations to	Rule 3.13(B)(10)(a)
Benefits, accepting	Rule 3.13
Bequests, accepting	Rule 3.13
Bias or prejudice prohibited	Rule 2.3
Bias as basis for disqualification	Rule 2.11(A)(1), Rule 3.1, Comment 3
Bias, list of factors	Rule 2.3(B)
Board membership	Rule 3.7(A)(6)(charity); Rule 3.11(B)
Business activities	Rule 3.11

C

Campaign activities	Rules 4.1, 4.2, 4.3
---------------------	---------------------

Campaign committees	Rule 4.4
Campaign contributions	Rules 4.1, 4.4
Campaign for nonjudicial office	Rule 4.5
Campaign speeches	Rule 4.1(A)(2)
Campaign standards and communications	Rule 4.3
Candidate, defined	See “Judicial Candidate”
Canons as overarching principles	Scope, para. 2
Ceremonial occasions	Rule 3.4, Comment 2
Character witness, acting as	Rule 3.3
Charitable activities, in general	Rule 3.7
Charitable activities, invitations to	Rule 3.13(B)(10)(b)
Civic activities, invitations to	Rule 3.13(B)(10)(b)
Code as basis for regulation	Preamble, para. 3
Code not an exhaustive guide	Preamble, para. 3
Committees, governmental	Rule 3.4
Committees, campaign	Rule 4.4
Comments, purpose of	Scope, para. 3
Commitments, pending cases	Rule 2.10(B)
Commitments, controversies or issues	Rule 4.1(10), Comments 12 through 14
Communications	See Ex Parte Communications
Compensation, extrajudicial activities	Rule 3.12
Compensation, reporting	Rule 3.13
Competence	Preamble, para. 1; Rule 2.5(A)
Complaint, no automatic recusal	Rule 2.7, Comment 2
Compliance by new judges	Application, Part E
Compliance with the law and code	Rule 1.1
Conflict, duty to minimize risk of	Canon 3
“Contribution,” defined	Terminology
Confidence in judiciary, promoting	Rule 1.2
Confidence in legal system	Preamble, para. 1
Consultation with government officials	Rule 3.2
Continuing part-time judge	Application, Part C
Contributions	See “soliciting contributions”
Cooperation with others	Rule 2.5(C)
Court personnel, supervisory duties over	2.12(A)

D

Decisions, responsibility to decide	Rule 2.7
Delay	Rule 2.5
Demeanor with jurors	Rule 2.8
“De minimis” interest, defined	Terminology
De minimis interest, disqualification	Rule 2.11(A)(2)(c)
Dignity of judicial office	Preamble, para. 2

Diligence	Rule 2.5(A)
Director, serving as	Rules 3.7(A)(6), 3.11(B)
Disability, duty to take action	Rule 2.14
Disciplinary authorities, cooperation with	Rule 2.16
Disciplinary action for violating rule	Scope, para. 2
Disciplinary responsibilities	Rules 2.15, 2.16
Disclosure of campaign contributions	Rule 4.4(B)(3)
Discretion, professional	Scope, para. 2
Discrimination, invidious defined	Rule 3.6, Comment 2
Discrimination, organizations	Rule 3.6
Disqualification, unwarranted	Rule 2.7, Comment 1
Disqualification, in general	Rule 2.11
Disqualification not automatic	Rule 2.7, Comment 2
“Domestic partner,” defined	Terminology
E	
“Economic interest,” defined	Terminology
Economic interest, applied	Rule 2.11(B), Comment 6
Economic interest, as disqualification	Rule 2.11(A)(3)
Endorsing projects and programs	Rule 3.7(C)(2)
Education	See “judicial education”
Educational organizations and activities	Rule 3.7
Election or reelection	Rule 4.1(A)(5)
Endorsements, political	Rule 4.1(A)(3)
Endorsements, legal projects and programs	Rule 3.7(C)(2)
Enforceability of rules	Scope, para. 5
Errors of law, good faith	Rule 2.2, Comment 3
Executive or legislative body	Rule 3.2
Exemptions for part-time judges	Application, Parts B through D
Ex parte communications	Rule 2.9(A)
Experts, consulting	Rule 2.9(A)(2), Comment 7
External influences on conduct	Rule 2.4
Extrajudicial activities	Canon 3
Extrajudicial activities, in general	Rule 3.1
F	
Facts, independent investigation of	Rule 2.9(C)
Facts, personal knowledge of	Rule 2.11(A)(1)
Fairness	Preamble, para. 1; Rule 2.2
False or misleading statement, candidate	Rule 4.3(G), (H), and (I), Comment 3
Family business	Rule 3.11(A) and (B)
Family circumstances	Rule 1.2, Comment 5.
Family relationships	Rule 2.4(B)

Favoritism, in appointments	Rule 2.13(A)(2)
Fear of criticism	Rule 2.4(A)
Fiduciary, appointment as	Rule 3.8
“Fiduciary,” defined	Terminology
Fiduciary, serving when selected	Application, Part E, Comment 1
Financial activities, in general	Rule 3.11
Financial activities, time for compliance	Application, Part E
Financial reporting requirements	Rule 3.15
Fund-raising, for candidates	Rule 4.1(A)(4)
Fund-raising, in general	Rule 3.7(A)
G	
General ethical standards apply	Preamble, para. 3
Gifts, generally	Rule 3.13
Gifts, reporting requirements	Rule 3.15
Gifts to family members	Rule 3.13, Comment 5
Guardian, accepting appointment as	Rule 3.13
Guardians, appointment of	Rule 2.13, Comment 1
H	
Harassment prohibited	Rule 2.3; see also “sexual harassment”
Honoraria, accepting	Rule 3.12, Comment 1
I	
Impairment, duty to take action	See “disability”
Impartiality and fairness	Rule 2.2
“Impartiality,” defined	Terminology
Impartiality, disqualification	Rule 2.11(A)(1)
Impartially, performing duties	Canon 2
Impartiality, promoting	Preamble, para. 1; Rule 1.2, Rule 2.2
Impartiality, upholding	Canon 1
“Impending matter,” defined	Terminology
“Impropropriety,” defined	Terminology
Improproprieties, actual	Rule 1.2, Comment 5.
Independence	Preamble, para. 1; Rule 1.2
“Independence,” defined	Terminology
Independence, in general	Canon 1, Rule 1.2
Independence versus rules	Scope, para. 4
Influence, in general	Rule 2.4
Influence, political	Rule 4.1, Comment 1
Influences on judge	See “external influences”
Integrity	Preamble. para. 1; Rule 1.2
“Integrity,” defined	Terminology

Investments, managing and holding	Rule 3.11
Investigating facts independently	Rule 2.9(C)
Invidious discrimination	Rule 3.6(A), Comments 1 through 3

J

“Judge,” defined	Terminology
Judge, use of title in campaigns	Rule 4.3(A) and (B)
Judicial duties, giving precedence to	Rule 2.1
Judicial candidate, code applies to	Preamble, para. 3; Application, Part A(2)
“Judicial candidate,” defined	Terminology
Judicial candidate, in general	Rules 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4
Judicial decisions, independence	Scope, para. 4
Judicial discretion	Scope, para. 2
Judicial duties or responsibilities	See “adjudicative,” “administrative,” “disciplinary”
Judicial education requirements	Rule 2.5(C)
Judicial employees	Rule 2.16, Comment 2
Judicial office as public trust	Preamble, para. 1
Judicial misconduct	See “misconduct”
Judicial selection, participation in	Rule 1.3, Comment 3
Jurors, comments to	Rule 2.8(C)
Jurors, demeanor with	Rule 2.8(A) and (B)

K

“Knowingly,” defined	Terminology
Knowingly or knows, disqualification	Rule 2.11
Knowledge, judicial or lawyer misconduct	Rule 2.15(A) and (B)

L

“Law,” defined	Terminology
Law practice prohibited	Rule 3.10
Lawyers, conduct towards	Rule 2.8(B)
Lawyers, disability and impairment	Rule 2.15(B)
Lawyers, financial activities with	Rule 3.11(C)(3)
Lawyers, gifts from	Rule 3.13(B)(2), Comment 3
Lawyer misconduct	See “misconduct”
Lawyers, ex parte communications with	Rule 2.9(A)
Leadership activities	Rule 3.7(C)(1)
Letterhead, use for personal business	Rule 1.3, Comments 1 and 2
Letterhead, listing name on organizational	Rule 3.7, Comment 4
Liability, rules not a basis for	Scope, para. 5
Litigant, conduct when dealing with	Rule 2.8(B)
Litigant, right to be heard	Rule 2.6(A)
Litigant, self-represented	Rule 2.2, Comment 4

Loans, accepting and reporting	Rule 3.13
M	
Mediator, service as	Rule 3.9
“Member of judge’s family,” defined	Terminology
“Member of judge’s family residing in judge’s household,” defined	Terminology
Membership, discriminatory organizations	Rule 3.6
Memberships, soliciting	Rule 3.7(A)(3)
Military service	Rule 3.16, Comment 4
Misconduct, responding to	Rule 2.15
Mitigating factors	Scope, para. 5
N	
Necessity, rule of	Rule 2.11. Comment 3
Nepotism	Rule 2.13(A)(2), Comment 2
Nonlegal advisor, serving as	Rule 3.7(A)(6)
New judges, compliance with code	Application, Part E
New judge serving as fiduciary	Application, Part E
“Nonpublic information,” defined	Terminology
Nonpublic information, use of	Rule 3.4
O	
Officer, serving as	Rule 2.11(A)(2)(a), Rule 3.7(A)(6), 3.11
Officiating in weddings	Rule 3.16
Organizations, discriminatory	Rule 3.6
Organizations, participation in	Rule 3.7
Organizations, political	Rule 4.1(A)
P	
Participation in outside activities	Rule 3.7
Partisan interests	See “external influence”
Part-time judges, examples of use	Application, Part D, Comments
“Pending matter,” defined	Terminology
Pending cases, statements on	Rule 2.10
Periodic part-time judge	Application, Part C
Permissive terms, use of	Scope, para. 2
Personal business, use of letterhead	Rule 1.3, Comment 1
Personal representative, serving as	Rule 3.8(A)
“Personally solicit,” defined	Terminology
Pledges, basis for disqualification	Rule 2.11(A)(5)
Pledges, promises and commitments	Rule 4.1(A)(10), Comments 12 through 16
Pledges, related to cases and issues	Rule 2.10(B)

Political activities, generally	Canon 4, Rule 4.1
Political influence	See “external influences”
“Political organization,” defined	Terminology
Political organization, membership in	Rule 4.1(A)
Practice of law, prohibited	Rule 3.10
Prejudice prohibited	Rule 2.3; see also “bias”
Prestige of office, avoiding abuse of	Rule 1.3
Prestige of office, testifying as witness	Rule 3.3, Comment
Problem-solving courts	Application, Part A, Comment 3
Probation officer, communicating with	Rule 2.9(A)(3)
Pro bono legal services	Rule 3.7(B), Comment 5
Professionalism, support of	Rule 1.2, Comment 4
Promises	See “pledges”
Prompt disposition of court business	Rule 2.5, Comments 3 and 4
Pro se litigant	See “litigant, self-represented”
Pro tempore part-time judge	Application, Part D
Public clamor	Rule 2.4(A)
Public confidence	Preamble, para. 2; Rule 1.2, Comment 3
“Public election,” defined	Terminology
Public hearing, appearing at	Rule 3.2
Public statement	Rule 2.11(A)(5)
Public statements on pending cases	Rule 2.10
Public testimonial, gifts incidental to	Rule 3.13(B)(9)
Public understanding, promoting	Rule 1.2, Comment 6; Rule 2.1, Comment 2
Publications, writing for	Rule 1.3, Comment 5

Q

Questionnaires, responding to	Rule 4.1, Comment 15
-------------------------------	----------------------

R

Real estate, managing	Rule 3.11(A), Comment 1
Reason, rules of	Scope, para. 5
Recommendations and references	Rule 1.3, Comment 2
Recommending judicial candidates	Rule 1.3, Comment 3
Reimbursement, in general	3.14
Religious organizations and activities	Rule 3.7
Remunerative activities	Rule 3.11
Retaliation prohibited	Rule 2.16(B)
Retired judge, application of rules	Application, Part B
Right to be heard, ensuring	Rule 2.6(A) and related comments.
Role of judiciary	Preamble, para. 1
Rules of Professional Conduct	Rule 2.15(B)
Rules of reason	Scope, para. 4

Rules, purpose of

Scope, para. 2

S

Scholarships, accepting

Rule 3.13(B)(8)

Self-representation

See “litigant, self-represented”

Settlement, encouraging

Rule 2.6(B) and related comments.

Sexual harassment

Rule 2.3, Comment 4

Sixty-day rule, reference to

Rule 2.5, Comment 5

Social hospitality, accepting

Rule 3.13(B)(3)

Soliciting contributions, coercion

Rule 3.1, Comment 4

Soliciting contributions, in general

Rule 3.7(A)(2)

Soliciting contributions, political

Rule 4.1(A)(4)

Speaking at events, in general

Rule 3.7(A)(4)

Statements, campaign

See “campaign speeches”

Statements, false or misleading

Rule 4.3(G), (H), and (I), Comment 3

Supervisory authority and duties

Rule 2.12

T

Teaching at educational institution

Rule 3.11, Comment 4

Telecommunications policy

Rule 3.1, Comment 5

Test for appearance of impropriety

Rule 1.2, Comment 5

“Third degree of relationship,” defined

Terminology

Time for compliance, new judges

Application, Part E

Travel

See “reimbursement of expenses”

Trustee, appointment as

Rule 3.8(A)

Trustee, disqualification

Rule 2.11(A)(2)

W

Waiver of fees or charges

Rule 3.14

Weddings

Rule 3.16

Witness, conduct toward

Rule 2.8(B)

Witness, judge as material

Rule 2.11(A)(2)(d)

Witness, testifying as character

Rule 3.3