

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-066

Complainant: No. 1062600627A

Judge: No. 1062600627B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Any issues the defendant has with the judge's rulings can only be resolved by the court.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: April 10, 2008

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 10, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Page #1 of 2

MAR 18 2008

Date: 03-09-08

Original Copy

To:

The State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 West Washington Street, Suite #229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

This is a Complaint of Professional Malpractice.
 Dear Sir or ma'am:

To whom it may concern; my name is
 I am currently
 being held in Jail by the City of
 Court

under municipal Court Judge
 which is illegal because
 the Judge is using Bills of Attainder,
 Ex-Post-Facto laws; and laws
 Impairing the obligation of a contract
 along with an Arizona State Bar
 Attorney at Law

as a Court appointed
 lawyer in order to maneuver
 me into the Arizona State Hospital
 for no reason, there is no evidence.

Page #1 of 2

Page #2 of 3

Original Copy

and her accomplices The Arizona State Bar Attorney at Law _____ are using City Park Ordinance which are used to maintain the City Parks as misdemeanors to give me a Rule Eleven. Claiming I can't assist my Attorney. How can any one assist their Attorney when there is no evidence to allege a charge nor witnesses accusing the defendant, me, of anything. I am an American Citizen and I have been lock up here

_____ which is closed
Custody or maximum security for over 21 days. My charges are #1-Possession of Glass Container in the Park; #2-Possession of Beer in the Park and #3-Camping on City Property during Park hours of being open. There is a 4th charge that I have not been charge with that stems from Page #2 of 3 (Please see next Page)

Page #3 of 3

Original Copy

Toco Bell for deforcation behind the Toco Bell dumpster which was alleged by some Toco Bell workers. I have not been charge with the charge but the Arizona State Bar Attorney at Law is using that alleged charge to make me appear as a sexually violent predator in order to get me into the State of Arizona Hospital for the rest of my life. Please see the Washington state case cite of Young vs. Weston, 1995, 898 F.Supp. 744 and 122 F3D 38 to better understand. This is more or less a misdemeanor under Arizona State Law a person does not need an Arizona State Bar Attorney at law in court to challenge a misdemeanor or city ordinance in municipal court. I have told the Judge and the alleged attorney, I do not want or need her as my Attorney.

Page #3 of 3