

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-095

Complainant: No. 1055310479A

Judge: No. 1055310479B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission cannot remove a judge from a case and cannot change a judge's rulings. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule16(a).

Dated: May 14, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 14, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

APR 16 2008

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-08-095

Dear Commission on Judicial Conduct:

I am writing this letter to you to ask for your advice as to how I can prevent _____ county from what I feel and believe is defrauding me in order to obtain my house and my one fourth interest in another house that is part of an estate of which I am one of four heirs.

This all began _____ when I filed a petition for the removal of a personal representative in _____ county superior court. The commissioner assigned to the case, commissioner _____ based on an unfiled and unsigned and unsubstantiated letter from the respondent in the case ordered me to retile my home into the name of my deceased father whose name was never on the title of my home.

That commissioner then immediately withdrew from the case and another commissioner was appointed. The next commissioner assigned to the case, _____, claimed that he could not correct the fraudulent retitling of my home because it was ordered by another commissioner. Commissioner _____ did however again retile my home into the name of my mother who has dementia and then commissioner _____ appointed a _____ county public fiduciary as a conservator guardian for her based on the deliberately withheld results of the investigation of the accusations in the letter sent to commissioner _____ in the original case.

In that original case to remove the person representative of my deceased father's estate I was awarded a favorable judgement and the personal representative was removed. But commissioner _____ then reappointed that personal representative stating that he would make sure that the estate was administered properly. But I later found out that the court can not get involved in the personal representative's administration of an estate.

The personal representative then after sending the heirs to the estate a promissory note in which he agrees to reimburse the heirs the \$ _____ that he had embezzled from the estate and a joint tenancy deed including all of the heirs. The personal representative filed a final closing statement for the estate claiming that there were no claims against. And the personal representative now intends to allow the house that is part of the estate be taken by _____ county for failure to pay property taxes to avoid paying the promissory note that was part of his agreement as the personal representative of the estate.

I know that the court allowed this to happen and now the court refuses to hear any matter related to the estate. The court prefers now to only hear matters related to obtaining a quiet title over my illegally aquired retitled home.

If there is any way for me to prevent losing both houses please let me know what I can do.

Sincerely,

CJC-08-095

APR 18 2008

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Commission on Judicial Conduct:

I am writing this letter in complaint of the actions and methods of commissioner [redacted] in the above mentioned cases. As a way of predetermining and causing his own desired outcome in these two cases commissioner [redacted] has put in effect an agenda whereby I am not allowed to present my case. Whenever I speak or try to I am shushed by commissioner [redacted] if I attempt to show commissioner [redacted] an exhibit he will look away or avert his eyes or stare down at his bench and waggle his head as if oblivious to me, commissioner [redacted] only allows me to submit evidence in writing which he systematically dismisses in it's entirety and laughs.

Commissioner [redacted] suborns Judicial and Professional misconduct and makes false statements on matters of fact and law in order to trick me to do things that are against my own best interests for what I can only imagine is to cause difficulty and obstruction in these two cases.

My court exhibits have been made unavailable to me by commissioner [redacted] and commissioner [redacted] routinely receives instruction from the petitioner in these case and obeys those instructions. The conduct of commissioner [redacted] seems more like a member of the petitioner's legal team who just happens to also be an employee of [redacted] county as is commissioner [redacted]

Under the present motis operendi of commissioner [redacted] I do not have the benefit of equal protection under the law. In fact I have no protection of the law in commissioner [redacted] courtroom. The law in commissioner [redacted] courtroom protects only those whom he allows it to protect. In my case rules that are used against me do not protect me from more blatant violations of my rights and no defensive argument is allowed me other than extensive fact based pleadings which I present that are automatically dismissed by commissioner [redacted] including the requests for ethical violation investigations that commissioner [redacted] allows the attorney's who are the subject of those requests to dismiss.

Because I am not allowed to present my case in the two specified cases due to commissioner [redacted] extremely heavy handed bias and prejudice in these matters I strongly respectfully request that these matters be assigned to a different commissioner.

SINCERELY,