

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-235

Complainant: No. 1344600398A

Judge: No. 1344600398B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 21, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 21, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC - 08 - 235

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 08/12/08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

JUDGE
ALLOWED TO DISMISS HIMSELF
FROM MY CASE AFTER DEFENSE COUNSEL PRESENTED
THE COURT WITH MOTION TO REVOKE ADMISSION OF
PRO HAC VICE. HAD
PREVIOUSLY VIOLATED ETHICAL RULE 1.8(E) RULES
OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT. RULE 42 OF THE RULES OF THE
ARIZONA SUPREME COURT. HAD
VIOLATED THIS RULE IN 2004 AND 2005 AND I CERTAINLY
WAS NO EXCEPTION TO THIS RULE.
AFTER THE COURT APPROVAL TO REMOVE
FROM MY CASE, ESQ. COMMITTED
HIMSELF UNDER COURT OATH TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE
FOR MY CASE WHO HIMSELF THEREAFTER ADVISE
ME THAT HE WOULD ALSO WOULD NO LONGER
REPRESENT ME. I THEN STRUGGLE TO OBTAIN LEGAL
COUNSEL WHERE COMMITTED HIMSELF
TO TRY TO REPRESENT ME. THIS MOTION OF VIOLATION
OF ETHICAL ISSUE WAS DETRIMENTAL IN MY CASE.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)