

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-287

Complainant: No. 1050910765A

Judge: No. 1050910765B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 9, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 9, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Note: Commission on Judicial Conduct Committee

When State of Arizona, Maricopa County personnel violated 18 USC 3 (aided and abetted) to overthrow the American and Arizona governments and their constitutions and their appropriate "applications" of the rules of court (which have the force of law) and rules of law 18 USC 2385 as a conspiracy 18 USC 4; 18 USC 1349 as morally depraved footsoldiers ransacking and pillaging and plundering real and personal property in violation of 18 USC 1961; Arizona Revised Statute 13-2310; 13-2314, all American and Arizona's governmental law pursuant to the United States and Arizona's Constitutional and the appropriate application of the appropriate rules of court and laws were suspended and wrongfully replaced by perverts on the judicial bench; masquerading, as upstanding noble judges.

In reality, pursuant to white collar criminals' false pretense and color of the rules of law (42 USC 1983) they perverted, suspended, and circumvented the highest law on American land, The United States Supreme Court, and the legal material fact that these intellectual assassins, state disturbers, malignant egoists, and refined cutthroat white collar criminals scam operated a criminal syndicate punishable by City, County, State, federal, and international prosecutors there is absolute no confidentiality at all!

America's white collar criminals will be brought to justice as Nazi, Germany's and Saddam's regime.

As a complainant who was been tortured, pursuant to Court of Justice, International Criminal Court regulations for prosecuting "crimes against humanity" offenses, via "sleep deprivation", twenty-two hour periods without sleep to draft unnecessary almost ten years of "abuse of process" by spiteful, vengeful corrupt Officers of the Court in violation of "Ethics in Government", I, do not owe the State of Arizona and its personnel any consideration; referencing, "confidentiality" because it is a federal offense to "aid and abet" white collar criminals overthrow the government and its government laws 18 USC 2385, while ransacking, pillaging and plundering, real and personal property, (18 USC 1961) (Arizona Revised Statute 13-2314) during their calculated undocumented civil war.

In fact, Complainant will do one better!
will publish each and every complaint,
submits to the State of Arizona, Commission

on Judicial Conduct and hold its officers, executive director, and staff accountable for supporting white collar criminal activities.

* It is a "conflict of interest" pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes and State Bar Professional Code for Commission on Judicial Conduct Committee, Executive Director his assistant to:

A. Investigate any of Complainant complaints
 Because and his disreputable State of Arizona personnel were required to report white collar criminal activities to US Justice Department, US Attorney's Office, State Bar, Arizona and United States Supreme Courts, US Congress, and Court of Justice, International Criminal Court (Hague, Netherlands) in violation of In re Himmel, 125 Ill.2d 531, 533 N.E.2d 90 (1988), nor "state frauds", State v. Haas, 138 Ariz. 413, 675 P.2d 673:

" . . . to sustain a conviction under A.R.S. Subsection 13-2310. The statute provides in part:

Any person who, pursuant to a scheme or artifice to defraud, knowingly and intentionally obtains or attempts to obtain money, property or any other thing of value by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises is guilty of a . . .

[2-4] . . . Both the federal and state statutes proscribe a "scheme or artifice to Court Case defraud" This Element is not defined according to any technical standard United States v. Pearlstein, 576 F. 2d 531, 535 (3d Cir. 1978). The scheme need not be fraudulent on its face but "must involve some sort of fraudulent misrepresentation or omissions reasonably calculated to deceive persons of ordinary prudence and comprehension." Id. (emphasis supplied); United States v. Netterville, 553 F.2d 903, 909 (5th Cir. 1977), cert. denied, 434 U.S. 1009, 98 S.Ct. 719, 54 L.Ed.2d 752 (1978); United States v. Bruce, 488 F.2d 1224, 1229 (5th Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 419 U.S. 825, 95 S.Ct. 41, 42 L.Ed.2d 48 (1974). The statute requires proof of the specific intent to defraud. Pearlstein, 576 F.2d at 537; United States v. Payne, 474 F.2d 603, 604 (9th Cir. 1973). The intent to defraud can be established by proving the defendant devised the

EDITORIAL NOTE

This complaint exceeds the number of pages we normally post on the internet. If you wish to read the entire redacted complaint, please contact the Commission on Judicial Conduct at:

**1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007**

Telephone: 602.452.3200